

DAFTAR KEPUSTAKAAN

- Acemoglu, D., G., Egorov, and K. Sonin. 2013. A political Theory of populism. *The quarterly Journal of Economics*. Vol. 128. Issue 2. 771–805.
- Achen, C.H. and L.M. Bartels. 2004. Blind Retrospection: Electoral Responses to Drought, Flu, and Shark Attacks. *Estudio/working paper*. 199. 1-42.
- Adams, A., L. Cherchye, B.D. Rock, and E. Verriest. 2014. Consume Now or Later? Time Inconsistency, Collective Choice, and Revealed Preference. *American Economic Review*. 104(12). 4147–4183
- Akhter, Z. and Y.A. Sheikh. 2014. Determinants of voting behaviour in India: Theoretical Perspective. *Public Policy and Administration Research*. Vol. 4 No. 8.
- Alvarez, R.M. and T.E. Hall. 2006. Controlling democracy : the principle-agent problems in election administration. *The Policy Studies Journal*. Vol. 34. No. 4. 491-510
- Arrow, K.J. 1959. Rational Choice Functions and Orderings. *Economica*. Vol. 26. No. 102. 121-127
- Ashworth, S., E.B.D. Mesquita., and A. Friedenberg. 2014. Learning about voters rationality. *American Journal of Political Science*. Vol. 62(1). 37–54. doi:10.1111/ajps.12334
- Atkin, C.K. 1971. Electronic Politics and the Voter : Conventional Wisdom and Empirical Evidence. *International Communication Association Annual Conference* (Phoenix, Arizona, April 22-24, 1971). Wisconsin Univ. Madison School of journalism and Mass Communication.
- Baker, C. 2019. What makes a good leader. *Eye*. Vol. 21. No. 7. 1-4.
- Bandyopadhyay, T. and K. Sengupta. 1991. Revealed Preference Axioms for Rational Choice. *The Economic Journal*. Vol. 101. No. 405. 202-213
- Baron, D.P. 1994. Electoral Competition with Informed and Uninformed Voters. *American Political Science Review*. 88(01). 33–47.
- Barro, R.J. 1973. The control of politicians : an economic model. *Public Choice*. Vol. 14. 19-42.
- Bartels, L.M and J. Zaller. 2001. Presidential vote model : a recount. *Election 2000 Coverage*. 1-12. doi : 10.1017/S1049096501000026
- Bartels, L.M. 2003. Democracy With Attitudes. *Electoral Democracy*. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press.
- Berganza, J.C. 2000. Two Roles for Elections: Disciplining the Incumbent and Selecting a Competent Candidate. *Public Choice*. Vol. 105. No. ½. 165-193.
- Biea, E. and B.G. Gabriel. 2016. The voting behaviour in the local Romanian elections of June 2016. *Bulletin of the Transilvania*. University of Brasov Series V: Economic Sciences. Vol. 9 (58) No. 2

- Blais, A. 2007. *Turnout in Elections*. Oxford Handbooks Online.
- Blais, A., F. Feitosa, and S. Sevi. 2017. Was my decision to vote (or abstain) the right one? *Party Politics*. doi : 135406881772205.
- Born, A., V.P. Eck, and M. Johannesson. 2017. An Experimental Investigation of Election Promises. *Political Psychology*. 39(3). 685–705.
- Branco, M.C. 1984. Economics against democracy. *Review of Radical Political Economics*. Vol. 44(1). 23-39.
- Brown, T.C. 2003. Introduction to Stated Preference Methods. The Economics of Non-Market Goods and Resources, 99–110. doi:10.1007/978-94-007-0826-6_4.
- Bubb, R. 2008. *Blame It On The Rain? Voter Rationality and Exogenous Economic Shocks*. New York University. *Working paper*. 2008 – researchgate.
- Budescu, D.V. and W. Weiss. 1987. Reflection of transitive and intransitive preferences: A test of prospect theory. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 39(2). 184–202.
- Byrne, A., M. Crossan, and G. Seijts. 2017. The development of leader character through crucible moments. *Journal of Management Education*. 1-29. doi : 10.1177/ 1052562917717292.
- Cancela, J. And B. Geys. 2016. Explaining voter turnout : a meta- analysis of national and subnational elections. *Electoral Studies*. 42: 264–275.
- Chalfant, A. James, and M.A. Julian. 1988. Accounting for Changes in Tastes. *Journal of Political Economy*. 96. 391-410.
- Chambers, C.P., F. Echenique, E. Shmaya. 2017. General revealed preference theory. *Theoretical Economics*. 12. 493-511.
- Cherchye, B.L., D. Rock, and F. Vermeulen. 2010. Foundations and Trends. *Econometrics*. Vol. 4. No. 4. 225–312
- Chris, H. 2014.. Konsep pemimpin nasional yang baik; survey pada masyarakat Jakarta. *Research Gate*. Universitas Paramadina
- Cosaert, S. 2018. Revealed Preferences for Diamond Goods. *American Economic Journal*. Vol. 10 No. 2. 83-117.
- Crawford, I. and B. Rock. 2014. Empirical revealed preference. *Annual Review of Economics*. 6. 503-524.
- Cukierman, A. And A.H. Meltzer. 1986. A positive theory of discretionary policy, the cost of democratic government and the benefits of a constitution. *Economic Inquiry*. Vol. XXIV. July 1986. 24,3. 367 – 388.
- Davis, J.M. 2007. Transitivity of preferences. *Behavioral Science*. 3(1):26 – 33. doi: 10.1002/bs.3830030104.
- Deb, R., Y. Kitamura, J. Quah, and J. Stoye. 2018. Revealed price preference: theory and empirical analysis. *CeMMAP working papers*. CWP57/18, Centre for Microdata Methods and Practice. Institute for Fiscal Studies.

- Demuynck, T. And P. Hjertstrand. 2019. Samuelson's Approach to Revealed Preference Theory: Some Recent Advances. *IFN Working Paper*. No. 1274.
- Downs, A. 1957. *An Economic Theory of Democracy*. New York. 1-50
- Drazen, A. and M. Eslava. 2005. *Electoral Manipulation Via Expenditure Composition: Theory And Evidence*. NBER.
- Druckman, J.N. and A. Lupia. 2000. *Preference formation*
- Edlin, A., A. Gelman, and N. Kaplan. 2007. Voting as a Rational Choice. *Rationality and Society. Sage Publication*. 19(3). 293–314.
- Erikson, R.S. 1989. Economics conditions and the presidential vote. *American Political Science Review*. Vol. 83. No. 2. 567-573.
- Famulari, M.,A. 1995. Household-Based, Nonparametric Test of Demand Theory. *Review*. 77. 372-382.
- Ferejohn, J. 1986. Incumbent performance and pemilih control. *Public Choice*. 50. 5-25.
- Fieldhouse, E., M. Tranmer., and R. Andrew. 2007. Something about young people or something about elections? Electoral participation of young people in Europe: Evidence from a multilevel analysis of the European Social Survey. *European Journal of Political Research*. 46: 797–822.
- Fowler, J.H. 2006. Altruism and turnout. *Journal of Politics*. 68: 674–683.
- Fowler, A. and M. Margolis. 2014. The political consequences of uninformed voters. *Electoral Studies*. 34. 100–110.
- Gackowski, T. 2013. Political Image as the Substance of the Political Communication in the Era of PostPolitics. *Online Journal of Communication and Media Technologies*. Vol: 3 – Issue: 4.
- Gale, D. 1960. A Note on Revealed Preference. *Economica*. 27(108). 348.
- Gandolfi, F. and S. Stone. 2018. Leadership, Leadership Styles, and Servant Leadership. *Journal of Management Research*. Vol. 18. No. 4. 261-269.
- Gibbard, A.F. 2014. Intransitive social indifference and the Arrow Dilemma. *Review of Economic Design*. 18(1). 3–10.
- Gross, J. 1995. Heterogeneity of Preferences for Local Public Goods: The Case of Private Expenditure on Public Education. *Journal of Public Economics*. 57. 103-127.
- Gul, F. and W. Pesendorfer. 2004. Self-control, revealed preference and consumption choice. *Review of Economic Dynamics*. 7. 243–264.
- Gul, F. and W. Pesendorfer. 2005. The Revealed Preference Theory of Changing Tastes. *Review of Economic Studies*. 72. 429–448.
- Hoch, S.J. and G.F. Loewenstein. 1991. Time inconsistent preference and self control. *Journal of Consumer Research*. Vol. 17. 492-507.
- Houthakker, H. S. 1950. Revealed Preference and the Utility Function. *Economica*. 17. 159-174.

- Huda, K. dan Z.A. Fadhlika. 2019. Pemilu Presiden 2019 : Antara Kontestasi Politik dan Persaingan Pemicu Perpecahan Bangsa. *Seminar Nasional Hukum Universitas Negeri Semarang*, Vol. 4 No. 3. 547-562.
- Hughes, R.I.G. 1980. Rationality and Intransitive Preferences. *Analysis*. Vo. 40 No. 3. 132-134.
- Hutter, M. 2007. Collection of Definitions of Intelligence. Australian National University. *Research Gate*.
- Jaccard, J. and G. Wood. 1988. The effects of incomplete information on the formation of attitudes toward behavioral alternatives. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 54(4). 580–591.
- Janků, J. and J. Libich. 2019. Ignorance isn't bliss: Uninformed voters drive budget cycles. *Journal of Public Economics*. 173. 21–43.
- Jerison, D. and M. Jerison. 2001. Real Income growth and revealed preference inconsistency. *Working Paper*. 01-29, Economics Series 02, Departamento de Economía, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid.
- Kahn, M. E. 1995. A Revealed Preference Approach to Ranking City Quality of Life. *Journal of Urban Economics*. 38(2). 221–235.
- Kihlstrom, R., A.M. Colell, and H. Sonnenschein. 1976. The Demand Theory of the Weak Axiom of Revealed Preference. *Econometrica*. Vol. 44. No. 5. 971-978.
- Kivetz, R. and I. Simonson. 2000. The Effects of Incomplete Information on Consumer Choice. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 37(4). 427–448.
- Kroes, E.P. and R.J. Sheldon. 1988. Stated Preference Methods in Transport Research. *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*. Vol. 22. No. 1.11-25.
- Lavine, H. 2001. The electoral consequences of ambivalence toward presidential candidates. *American Journal of Political Science*. Vol. 45. No. 4. 915-929.
- Lee, Y. and Y. Min. 2019. Attribute agenda setting and affective priming in a South Korean election: how media descriptions of candidate attributes affect political decision-making. *Asian Journal of Communication*. 1–19. doi: 10.1080/01292986.2019.1688364.
- Lema, D. 2008. Conditional political budget cycles in Argentine Provinces. *U of CEMA Working Paper Series*. No. 389. 1-22).
- Little, I.M.D. 1949. A reformulation of the theory of consumer's behavior 1. *Oxford Economic Papers*. 1(1). 90–99. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.oep.a041063.
- Loke, W.H. 1989. The effects of framing and incomplete information on judgments. *Journal of Economic Psychology*. Vol. 10(3). 329–341.
- Loomes, G., S. Orr, and R. Sugden. 2009. Taste uncertainty and status quo effects in consumer choice. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*. Vol. 39. Issue 2 113–135.

- Grune, T. 2004. The Problems of Testing Preference Axioms with Revealed Preference Theory. *Analyse and Critic* (Lucius and Lucius, Stuttgart). 26/2004. 382–397.
- Maloney, M.E. and P. Moore. 2020. From aggressive to assertive. *International Journal of Women's Dermatology*. 6(1.). 46.
- Makowski, M. and E.W. Piotrowski. 2011. Decisions in elections – transitive or intransitive quantum preferences. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*. Vol. 44. No. 21. 1-12.
- Mcdermott, M. L. 1998. Race and Gender Cues in Low-Information Elections. *Political Research Quarterly*. 51(4). 895–918.
- McDonald, M.D., S.M. Mendes, and I. Budge. 2003. What Are Elections For? Conferring the Median Mandate. *British Journal of Political Science*. 34(01). 1-26.
- McKelvey, R.D. and P.C. Ordeshook. 1985. Elections with limited information: A fulfilled expectations model using contemporaneous poll and endorsement data as information sources. *Journal of Economic Theory*. 36(1). 55–85.
- Mossin, A. 1972. A Mean Demand Function and Individual Demand Functions Confronted with the Weak and the Strong Axioms of Revealed Preference: An Empirical Test. *Econometrica*. 40(1). 177.
- Müller-Trede, J. S. Sher, and C.R.M. McKenzie. 2015. Transitivity in context: A rational analysis of intransitive choice and context-sensitive preference. *Decision*. Vol. 2(4). 280–305.
- Nai, A. 2018. Fear and Loathing in Populist Campaigns? Comparing the Communication Style of Populists and Non-populists in Elections Worldwide. *Journal of Political Marketing*. 1–32. Published. doi : 10.1080/15377857.2018.1491439
- Norris, P. 1997. Political Communications. *Developments in British Politics*. 5. 75-88
- Oke, A.O., P. Kamolshotiros, O.Y. Popoola, M.A. Ajagbe, and O.I. Olujobi. 2016. Consumer Behavior towards Decision Making and Loyalty to Particular Brands. *International Review of Management and Marketing*. 6(S4). 43-52.
- Pervan, M., J. Visic, and I. Pavic. 2015. Inconsistency in Consumer Preferences: Some Interesting Insights. *Procedia Economics and Finance*. 23. 726 – 732.
- Persson, T. and G. Tabellini G. 2000. *Political economics: explaining economic policy*. MIT. Press., Cambridge. MA. Vol. 48(1). 101–129.
- Przeworski, A., S.S. Stokes, and B. Manin. 1999. *Democracy, accountability, and representation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Radcliff, B. 1993. The Structure of Voter Preferences. *The Journal of Politics*. 55(3). 714–719.
- Ramadhan, C.R. 2018. *Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi keputusan memilih kandidat calon presiden di Pulau Sumatera*. Program Studi Alih Jenis

Manajemen Departemen Manajemen. Fakultas Ekonomi Dan Manajemen Institut Pertanian Bogor. Skripsi.

- Ramya, N. and S.A.M. Ali. 2016. Factors affecting consumer buying behavior. *International Journal of Applied Research*. 2(10). 76-80.
- Rashida, I.K. <https://www.unimed.edu.ng/oer.unimed.edu.ng/Journals/9/1/Igbafe-Kate-Rashida-foundations-of-organizational--rationality.pdf>
- Razman, M.R. and Z. Ramli. 2016. Behaviour Analysis of General Election in the Province of Riau, Indonesia. *Information*. Koganei Vol.19. Iss.7A. 2565-2570.
- Redlawsk, D.P. 2004. What Voters Do : Information Search During Election Campaigns. *Political Psychology*. 25(4). 595–610.
- Regenwetter, M., J. C.P. Dana, S. Davis, and Y. Guo. 2011. Parsimonious testing of transitive or intransitive preferences: Reply to Birnbaum. *Psychological Review*. 118(4). 684–688.
- Richter, M.K. 1966. Revealed preference theory. *Econometrica*. Vol. 34(3). 635-645.
- Rogoff, K. and A. Sibert. 1988. Elections and macroeconomic policy cycles. *Review of Economic Studies*. 55. 1-16.
- Rogoff, K. 1990. Equilibrium political budget cycles. *American Economic Review*. 80. 21-36.
- Russell, R.F. 2001. The role of values in servant leadership. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*. Vol. 22 Iss 2. 76-84.
- Samuelson, P.A. 1938. A Note on the Pure Theory of Consumer's Behaviour. *Economica*. Vol. 5(17). 61.
- Samuelson, P.A. 1948. Consumption Theory in Terms of Revealed Preference. *Economica*. Vol. 15(60). 243.
- Santoso, B. And M.A. Djauhari. 2019. Indonesian 2019 General Election ; Who will be the future leader? *Global Media Journal*. vol 17. No. 32. 69.
- Smets, K. and V. Ham. 2013. The embarrassment of riches? A meta-analysis of individual-level research on voter turnout. *Electoral Studies* 32(2). 344–359.
- Stavins, R. 1999. The Costs of Carbon Sequestration: A Revealed-Preference Approach. *The American Economic Review*. Vol. 89 no. 4. 994-1009.
- Svirsky, D. 2018. Why are privacy preferences inconsistent. *Discussion paper*. 81. Harvard Law School.
- Temuan Survey Nasional Poltracking Indonesia. 2018. Peta Elektoral kandidat dan prediksi skenario koalisi pilpres 2019.
- Trede, J.M., S. Sher, and C.R.M. McKenzie. 2015. Transitivity in Context: A Rational Analysis of Intransitive Choice and Context-Sensitive Preference. *Decision*. Vol. 2 No. 4. 280-305.

- Truglia, R.P. 2012. Measuring the market value of non-market goods: the case of conspicuous consumption. University of California. Los Angeles (UCLA); *National Bureau of Economic Research* (NBER). 1-32
- Tsai, R.C. and U. Böckenholz. 2006. Modelling intransitive preferences: A random-effects approach. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*. 50(1). 1–14.
- Tversky, A. 1969. Intransitivity of preferences. *Psychological Review*. 76(1). 31–48.
- Udeh, G.N. and N.A. Bassey. 2018. Democracy is freed, but has popular sovereignty served its jail term in Nigeria? *International Journal of Asian Social Science*. Vol. 8. No. 12. 1088-1096.
- Urbinati, N. 2019. Political Theory of Populism. *Annu. Rev. Political Sci.* 22. 111–127.
- Uzawa, H. 1960. Preference and Rational Choice in the Theory of Consumption. *Proceedings of a Symposium on Mathematical Methods in the Social Sciences*. Stanford University Press.
- Varian, H.R. 2012. Revealed preference and its applications. *The Economic Journal*. Vol. 122. Issue 560. 332–338.
- Vreese, C. And N. Elenbaas. 2011. Spin and Political Publicity: Effects on News Coverage and Public Opinion. *Political Communication in Postmodern Democracy: Challenging the Primacy of Politics*. Palgrave Macmillan. 1-272
- Wamilia, M., J.M. Munandar, and U. Sumarwan. 2019. Political marketing factors that affect voting intention on Indonesian 2019 election. 5 th Asia International Conference. KLCC Malaysia. *Asia Proceedings of Social Sciences (APSS)* 5(1).
- Wass H. and A. Blais. 2017. Turnout. *The Sage Handbook of Electoral Behaviour*. London. UK: Sage. 459–487.
- Watson, A. 1994. Strategies for the Assessment of Competence. *The Vocational Aspect of Education*. 46:2. 155-165.
- Wolfers, J. 2002. Are Voters Rational? Evidence from Gubernatorial Elections. *Stanford GSB Working Paper*. No. 1730.
- Yaghi, A. and O.A. Boateng. 2017. Public Policy Issues and Campaign Strategies: Examining Rationality and the Role of Social Media in a Legislative Election Within a Middle Eastern Context. *Digest of Middle East Studies*. Vol. 26. No. 2. 398–421.
- Yang, B. And D. Lester. 2008. Reflections of rational choice –the existence of systematic irrationality. *The Journal of Socio – Economics*. Vol. 37. 1218-1233.