

CHAPTER IV

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

4.1. CONCLUSION

In the first problem, the finding shows that Amy Schumer uses 8 types of women's linguistic features they are lexical hedges or fillers, tag questions, rising intonation, empty adjectives, intensifiers, hypercorrect grammar, superpolite forms, and avoidance of strong words. In this analysis, the most dominant linguistic feature that Amy uses is lexical hedges or fillers as much as 99 times. It happens because Amy tends to uncertainty from her statement in delivered something that she said. It appears from Amy's confused expression to look for the correct equivalent of the word. The possibility of why lexical hedges or fillers often occur in Amy's utterances is because Oprah's show is an informal event. So, Amy is not preparing the words that she said with Oprah.

In the second research, the problem is about the functions of women's linguistic features used by Amy. Two of the functions of women's linguistic features are also found in this analysis. They are hedging devices and boosting devices. The most dominant function that Amy uses is hedging devices as much as 129 times.

4.2. SUGGESTION

This research helps out to the improvement of understanding women's linguistic features. Although this research is far from perfect. However, as a reference, this research can be used for future sociolinguistics research. Further to

bigger research, it is hoped that this research can be a stepping stone to the next research.

Women's linguistic features that Amy Schumer uses in this study give an example. At present, many influential women in a society that is famous on social media. It is hoped the future researcher can put other influential women as object research. In general, women who are well known on social media are labeled as influencers. Therefore, it will be more interesting and challenging to be analyzed because no research that analyzes women's linguistic used the concept of women in Millennial's perspective.

