

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background of the Study

Conversation is a tool of the people to communicate on each other. Conversation involves at least two people to show their perspectives in some issues on each other. The speakers always having conversation to discuss about anything. The process of conversation occurred informally between two or more speaker with different background in society. Furthermore, the speakers performs the conversation for school, business, debate, judging, and so on. Conversation shows the transition between the current speaker and the recipient in conversation. In conversation, the speakers always having a turn in conversation to show his/her idea on some issues.

Turn is the basic way to do the conversation. The speaker has the right to do the turn in the conversation. The conversation does not run fluently between the current speaker and the recipient. There is an overlap activity in the conversation. The mechanism of the conversation has some variations. For example in a debate, the next speaker produces the utterance before the current speaker finishes his/her utterance. There is an overlap between the speakers. The process of overlap carried out by the recipient on the current speaker's utterance. There are many variations in interrupting on the current speaker's utterance. For example, the recipient interrupts on the completion of the current speaker's utterance. The speaker has right to answer the question. The process of overlap always occurs in the conversation.

This research aims to identify the types of overlap onset between judge and defendant in the courtroom. The overlap onset carried out by defendant on judge's

utterance in conversation. Defendant interrupts Judge's utterance to show his/her idea on the discussion. This research becomes the reason to enlarge the knowledge about overlap in conversation. The procedure of overlapping talk carried out by defendant on judge's utterance is the main focus on this research. This research aims to improve the comprehension on overlapping talk in this research.

1.2. Research Questions

This research focused on the overlapping and repair between the judge and defendant in Caught in Providence Season 1. The research focuses on the two questions:

1. What are the types of overlap onset in Caught in Providence Show?
2. How the overlaps are performed in Caught in Providence Show?

1.3. Objectives of the Study

The purpose of the research is to answer the research questions above:

1. To find out the types of overlap onset between the judge and defendant in Caught in Providence Show Season 1
2. To investigate the performance of overlaps between the judge and defendant in Caught in Providence Show Season 1

1.4. Scope of the Study

Overlapping talk is the part of Conversation Analysis field. The data of this research obtained from Caught in Providence Season 1. The speakers of these videos are the Judge as the current speaker and the Student as the defendant. This research focuses on the overlapping talk in Caught in Providence Show Season 1. This research uses Gail Jefferson (1984) theory.

1.5. Methods of the Study

1.5.1. Source of the Data

The object of this research is the video of Caught in Providence Season 1. Caught in Providence is a video of the lawcourt between the judge and defendant. The content of this video shows the people about the process of confronting the violation carried out by defendant on judge in lawcourt. Caught in Providence contains the natural conversation between the judge and defendant so this video is appropriate to be analyzed as the object of the research. This video agree with the characteristic of Conversation Analysis about the unscripted talk. The conversation between the judge and defendant is informally occurred based on the characteristic of Conversation Analysis study. The videos of the data are derived from the Facebook page. The number of followers on Caught in Providence Facebook page is about 2,5 million. The content of the videos is about the violations at the Rhode Island City in the United States of America. There is 500 episode in Season 1 on Caught in Providence. In season 1, the videos selected about 20 episodes between the judge and the students. The data of this research are all utterances produced by the speakers naturally in the speaker's mind without a script.

1.5.2. Data Collecting Procedure

There are several steps in collecting data. First, the data searched on Facebook by typing Caught in Providence. Second, there are two season of videos in facebook, so the season one is selected as the data of the research. Third, there is only about 20 episodes from 500 episode in season 1 between judge as the current speaker and student as the recipient so the videos between the judge as the current speaker and student as the defendant selected as the data in the research. Last, these 20 videos downloaded by

copying the link from facebook, then pasted into savefrom.net. Last, these 20 videos are collected into one folder.

1.5.3. Data Analysis

This research uses qualitative method. First, all of the utterances is typewritten manually by using Microsoft Word. Second, the transcription of the utterance in the Microsoft Word is analyzed by using ELAN software. The using of ELAN software aims to see the overlap, pause, gap, and so on. Third, the transcription of the utterance classified into the types of overlap. Fourth, the classification data put into the table on finding. Last, the sample explained verbatim about the process of overlap in data analysis.

1.5.4. Presenting the Result of Analysis

The results of analysis is shown through the table. The table includes the types of overlap that is achieved by the defendant. The quantity of those type of overlap is shown on the table. The explanation of the data is shown on data analysis.

