

## CHAPTER IV

### CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

#### 4.1 Conclusion

This research discussed two joint statements by the Prime Ministers of Australia, Canada, and New Zealand regarding Israel and Palestinian conflict in 2023 using transitivity analysis of Halliday's systemic functional linguistic. This research explored the process types, participant functions and circumstantial elements found in both joint statement text. The data was gathered during 2023-2024, where the news on the tension between Israel and Palestine reach its peak. Therefore, the analysis focus on how these Prime Ministers convey their experience about world by taking some real actions towards the conflict of Israel and Palestine.

Based on the result and findings, the Material process dominated both joint statements, with the frequency of occurrence around 21 times. In the first joint statement, Material process appeared 11 times (32.35%) and the total processes are transformative. It shows that these Prime Ministers of Australia, Canada and New Zealand support the protection of civilians and humanitarian access throughout the conflict. The Actors are mostly represented by "we" and some of them are ellipses, indicating the role of these Prime Ministers of other nation correspond to the situation of the conflict. Besides, the occurrence of circumstance of Location around nine times (36%) helps the Prime Ministers to relate to the place and time the process is conducted.

On the other hand, the second joint statement also has the Material process as the most frequent process that occurred eight times (32%) with six transformative and two creative material processes.. However, there is a significant difference where the Actors

are mostly Hamas as the ones who perform the process. It shows that these Prime Ministers are framing Hamas as the initial cause of the conflict, hence they call on Hamas to take real actions, such as laying down their arms and releasing all hostages. It is then supported by the occurrence of Circumstance of Manner and Location that describe how Hamas should perform the action and in what time and what place they conduct the action.

Overall, both joint statements relied on Material processes, meaning that these three Prime Ministers are using language to represent their nations that support concrete actions towards the conflict. It could be assumed that the Prime Ministers are trying to portray Hamas as the perpetrator of the outbreak, while they see Israelis' attack is the consequence of what Hamas started on October 7. Also, they encourage the notion of a two-state solution in order to get both Israelis and Palestinians live side by side and finally end the long-term conflict immediately. This means that the Ministers are implicitly taking sides on the Israeli agenda to occupy Palestinian lands and it could cause a more horrible conflict in the future.

#### **4.2 Suggestion**

This research focused on how transitivity analysis of two joint statements by the prime Ministers of Australia, Canada and New Zealand towards the conflict between Israel and Palestine. Thus, it specifically discussed the way the process types are found, the participant functions, the circumstantial elements and also the interpretation of experiential meaning of each text. The limitation of this research is it only focus on the transitivity analysis of two joint statements.

It is suggested for future research to involve other metafunctions such as interpersonal and textual metafunctions in order to provide broader information about

systemic functional linguistics of these joint statements. The use of interpersonal meaning to achieve the communication aspect, as well as textual meaning to obtain a particular message in the text. Therefore, further research is recommended to achieve a full semantic understanding of the joint statements.

