CHAPTER IV

CONCLUSION, LIMITATION AND SUGGESTION

4.1 Conclusion

This research found 55 data that contained impoliteness strategies found in Jordan Peterson’s utterances. As explained in Chapter 3, the 12 data that had been analyzed are the description the types and the functions of impoliteness strategies that are used by Jordan Peterson. This research analyzed the types of impoliteness strategy used a theory from Culpeper (1996). Culpeper divided five types of impoliteness strategies; they are bald on record, positive impoliteness, negative impoliteness, sarcasm or mock politeness and withhold impoliteness. However, the types of impoliteness strategies that are found in this study are bald on record, positive impoliteness, negative impoliteness, sarcasm or mock politeness. Bald on record is found 2 data, positive impoliteness is found 30 data, negative impoliteness is found 17 data and sarcasm or mock politeness is found 6 data. The dominant type of impoliteness strategies that is found in Jordan Peterson’s utterances is positive impoliteness. Jordan Peterson uses positive impoliteness strategies to get what he wants by avoiding the interlocutor and making the interlocutor feeling unaccepted.

Then, this study also analyzed the functions of impoliteness strategies that are proposed by Jordan Culpeper. The functions of impoliteness strategies that are found in this study are affective impoliteness, coercive impoliteness and entertaining impoliteness. Affective impoliteness is found 32 data, coercive impoliteness is found
22 data and entertaining impoliteness is found 1 data. Therefore, the dominant function of impoliteness strategies that is used by Jordan Peterson is Affective impoliteness. This function tend used by Jordan Peterson’s utterance because his interlocutor often trigger him during the conversation. This situation irritates him and makes him attack his interlocutor back.

4.2 Limitation and Suggestion

Having done the analysis, there are two limitations of this research. Hence, the next researcher can minimized the limitations:

Firstly, the form of sub-strategy of impoliteness strategy proposed by Culpeper still needs to be explored. This is because Culpeper theory is the basic theory of impoliteness. This theory still has deficiencies in the form of implementing its sub-strategy. In Culpeper's theory, he only explained the types and sub-types of impoliteness without explaining the real form of the types. Hopefully, the next researcher is supposed to find the real form of impoliteness strategies.

Secondly, this research only analyzes the types and the functions of impoliteness strategies on the speaker’s utterance. However, there are some the interlocutor’s responses that can also be analyzed by the next researcher.