

CHAPTER IV

CONCLUSION

Having analyzed one season especially top fifteen “MasterChef US Competition Show” first episode, in the form of utterances, the writer concludes that politeness strategies, particularly positive politeness strategies are used by the judges utterances. In the conversations, the writer finds out of the eighteen data, there are: strategy 1 (notice, attend to hearer), strategy 2 (exaggerate: interest, approval, sympathy with hearer), strategy 3 (intensify interest to hearer), strategy 6 (avoid disagreement) and strategy 13 (give or ask for reason). The writer finds out that there are eighteen utterances by the judges. In this conversation, the writer does not find the use of positive politeness strategies of seek agreement, use in group identity markers, presuppose, joke, assert, offer promise, be optimistic, include both speaker and hearer, assume and give gifts to hearer.

After analyzing judges utterances in MasterChef US competition show, the judges use exaggerate (interest, approval, sympathy with hearer) as the dominant one. This percentage is 55,6% from eighteen data of positive politeness strategies in the judges conversation. Generally, the choice of strategy to use exaggerate as the specific strategy used by judges in MasterChef US competition show that the judges give more comments in the fifteen episode. The judges have a lot of comment to deliver to the contestants and build a huge interaction also communication between them.

All the judges utterances in MasterChef US Competition how applied exaggerate strategy on positive politeness strategies which can support their wants and make hearer can catch the meaning of their utterances immediately. It also to

minimize the possibility of misunderstanding among them during the conversation.

Finally, the writer can conclude that the strategies used by judges in MasterChef US competition show can apply positive politeness in managing their utterances during the conversation.

