

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Riedel RA. Esthetics and its relation to orthodontics therapy. *Am. J. Ortod* 1970;20:168-78.
2. Proffit WR, Fields HW, Sarver DM. *Contemporary orthodontics*. 5th ed ed. ST Louis: Elsevier Mosby; 2013. p. 2-5, 162-7.
3. Chan EKM, Soh J, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA. Esthetic evaluation of Asian-Chinese profiles from a white perspective. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2008;133:532-8.
4. Burstone CJ. Lip posture and its significance in treatment planning. *Am J Orthod* 1967 53:262-84.
5. Ricketts RM. Esthetics, environment, and the law of lip relation *Am J Orthod* 1968;54:272-89.
6. Holdaway RA. Soft-tissue cephalometric analysis and its use in orthodontic treatment planning. *Am J Orthod* 1983;84:1-28.
7. Jacobson A, Vlachos C. Soft-tissue evaluation. *Radiographic cephalometry: From basics to videoimaging*. USA: Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc.; 1995. p. 248-52.
8. Indriati E. *Antropologi forensik: Identifikasi rangka manusia antropologi biologis dalam konteks hukum*. Yogyakarta: Gajah Mada University Press; 2004. p. 59-60.
9. Effendy T. *Perbedaan persepsi ortodontis dan orang awam Indonesia terhadap posisi bibir pada profil wajah (kajian pada ras Deutro-Malayid)* [Tesis]: Universitas Indonesia; 2015.
10. Irsa R, Syaifullah, Tjong DH. Variasi kefalometri pada beberapa suku di Sumatera Barat. *J Bio UA* 2013;2:130-37.
11. Rostina T. *Analisis profil jaringan lunak menurut metode Holdaway pada mahasiswa FKG USU Suku Deutro Melayu* [Skripsi]. Medan: Universitas Sumatera Utara; 2009.

12. Doshi P, Kalia A, Hegde A, Gautam R, Mirdheghan N. Evaluation of the facial profile by alteration of lip position in Indian Maratha male and female population. *International Journal of Dental and Medical Specialty* 2014;1:7-10.
13. Maganzini AL, Tseng JYK, Epstein JZ. Perception of facial esthetics by Native Chinese participants by using Manipulated Digital Imagery Techniques. *Angle Orthod* 2000;70:398-9.
14. Soh J, Chew MT, Wong HB. A comparative assessment of the perception of Chinese facial profile esthetics. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2005;127:692-9.
15. Shimomura T, Ioi H, Nakata S, Counts AL. Evaluation of well-balanced lip positioned by Japanese orthodontic patients. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2011;139:291-7.
16. Ioi H, Shimomura T, Nakata S, Nakasima A, Counts AL. Comparison of anteroposterior lip position of the most favoured facial profiles of Korean and Japanese people. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2008;134:490-5.
17. Metgud R, Tiwari P, Ramesh G. Evaluation of soft tissue landmarks using Holdaway analysis among southern Rajasthan population in various skeletal malocclusions. *J Forensic Res* 2015;6:258.
18. Kokich VO, Kiyak HA, Shapiro PA. Comparing the perception of dentists and laypeople to altered dental esthetics. *J Esthet Dent* 1999;11:311-24.
19. Depdiknas. *Kamus besar bahasa Indonesia*. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama; 2005. p. 807.
20. Rahmat J. *Psikologi komunikasi edisi revisi*. Bandung: Remaja Rosda Karya; 2008. p. 51-58.
21. Walgito B. *Pengantar psikologi umum*. Yogyakarta: Andi offset; 2004. p. 99-100.
22. Sunaryo. *Psikologi untuk keperawatan*. Jakarta: EGC; 2004. p. 97-98.
23. Hamka M. *Hubungan antara persepsi terhadap pengawasan kerja dengan motivasi berprestasi [Skripsi]*. Surakarta: Universitas Muhammadiyah; 2002.
24. Nofrizal R. *Persepsi estetika dental antara orang awam dengan ortodontis berdasarkan aesthetic componen dari IOTN [Tesis]*. Jakarta: Universitas Indonesia; 2012.

25. Jung H-Y, Oh J-S, Hui Z, et al. Assessing the influence of anteroposterior lip position based on esthetic line on the perceived attractiveness. *J Korean Dent Sci* 2013;6:78-86.
26. Cochrane, Cunningham SJ, Hunt NP. A comparison of the perception of facial profile by the general public and 3 group of clinicians. *Int J Adult Orthod Orthognath Surg* 1999;14:291-5.
27. Hall D, Taylor RW, Jacobson A, Sadowsky PL, Bartolucci A. The perception of optimal profile in African Americans versus White Americans as assessed by orthodontist and the lay public. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2000;118:514-25.
28. Mees S, Bellinga RJ, Mommaerts MY, Pauw GAMD. Preferences of AP position of the straight Caucasian facial profile. *Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery* 2013:1-9.
29. Fabre M, Mossaz C, Christou P, Kiliaridis S. Orthodontists and laypersons aesthetic assesment of class III subjects referred for orthognathic surgery. *Eur J Orthod* 2009;31:443-8.
30. Turkkahraman H, Gokalp H. Facial profile preferences among various layers of Turkish population. *Angle Orthod* 2004 74:640-7.
31. Nomura M, Motegi E, Hatch JP, et al. Esthetics preferences of European American, Hispanic America, Japanese, and African judges for soft-tissue profiles. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2009;135:587-95.
32. Tole N, Lajnert V, Pavicic DK, Spalj S. Gender, age, and psychosocial context of the perception of facial esthetics. *J Esthet Restor Dent* 2014 26:119-30.
33. Park NS, Park JH, Bayome M, et al. An evaluation of preferred lip positions according to different age groups. *Int J Oral Maxillofac. Surg* 2013;42:637-42.
34. Ko S-J, Kim H-S, Kim Y-J. The influence of gender or culture on determining esthetic facial profiles. *Korean J Orthod* 2001;31:301-9.
35. Koentjaraningrat. *Pengantar ilmu antropologi*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta; 2015. p. 74-76.
36. Irsa R, Syaifullah, Tjong DH. Variasi Kefalometri pada Beberapa Suku di Sumatera Barat. *Jurnal Biologi Universitas Andalas* 2013 2:130-7.

37. Jacob T. Studi tentang variasi manusia di Indonesia. Yogyakarta: Fakultas Kedokteran; 1967.
38. Vlekke BHM. Nusantara: Sejarah Indonesia. Jakarta: Gramedia; 2008. p. 8-12.
39. Odias RR. Analisa wajah perempuan Suku Batak [Tesis]. Medan: FK USU; 2008.
40. Munanda S, Snow MD. Cephalometric analysis of Deutro-Malay Indonesians. *Aus Dent J* 1995;40:381-8.
41. Winoto NS. Studi profil fasial skelet Indonesia di Surabaya, Jawa Timur dengan pendekatan sefalometrik. Surabaya: Airlangga United Press; 1981.
42. Jacob T. Antropologi biologis. Jakarta: Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi Departemen Pendidikan Nasional; 2000. p. 11-2, 61-8.
43. Prasetyono TOH. Morphometry of Deutero Malay female nose. *Med J Indones* 2009;18:120-3.
44. Basciftci FA, Uysal T, Buyukerkmen A, Demir A. The influence of extraction treatment on Holdaway soft-tissue measurements. *Angle Orthod* 2004;74:167-73.
45. Hwang H-S, Kim W-S, McNamara JA. Ethnic differences in the soft tissue profile of Korean and European-American adults with normal occlusions and well-balanced faces. *Angle Orthod* 2002;72:72-80.
46. Uysal T, Baysal A, Yagci A, Sigler LM, McNamara JA. Ethnic differences in the soft tissue profiles of Turkish and European-American young adults with normal occlusions and well-balanced faces. *Eur J Orthod* 2012;34:296-301.
47. Hasson D, Arnetz BB. Validation and findings comparing VAS VS Likert scales for psychosocial measurements. *Int Electron J Health Educ* 2005;8:178-92.
48. Zulfiqar K, Bahir U, Durrani OK, Kiani H. Assesment of the most preferres facial patients and orthodontists. *POJ* 2013;5:38-43.
49. Glasser PM. Ethnic identity and the perception of facial profile aesthetics: A preliminary study [Thesis]. Ontario: University of Western Ontario; 2012.
50. Sodagar A, Borujeni DG. Evaluation of anteroposterior lip positions in the most-favored Iranian facial profiles using silhouette. *Journal of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences* 2013;10:393-404.
51. Wewers ME, Lowe NK. A critical review of visual analogue scales in the measurement of clinical phenomena. *Res Nurs Health* 1990;13:227-36.



52. Riduwan. Skala pengukuran variabel-variabel penelitian. Bandung: ALFABETA; 2015. p. 12-15.
53. Riyanto A. Aplikasi metodologi kesehatan. Yogyakarta: Nuha Medika; 2011. p. 138-40.
54. Sastroasmoro S, Ismael S. Dasar-dasar metodologi klinis. 5th Ed ed. Jakarta: Sagung Seto; 2015. p. 68-70.
55. Laerhoven Hv, Zaag-Loonen Hvd, Derkx B. A comparison of likert scale and visual analogue scales as response options in children's questionnaires. *Acta Paediatr* 2004;93:830-5.
56. Abed Y, Har-Zion G, Redlich M. Lip posture following debonding of labial appliances based on conventional profile photographs. *Angle Orthod* 2009;79:235-9.
57. Hockley A, Weinstein M, Borislow AJ, Braitman LE. Photos VS silhouettes for evaluation of African American profile esthetics. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2012;141:161-8.
58. Davidenko N. Silhouetted face profiles: A new methodology for face perception research. *J Vis* 2007;7: 6.
59. Ioi H, Nakata S, Nakasima A, Counts AL. Anteroposterior lip positions of the most favoured Japanese facial profiles. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2005;128:206-11.
60. Spyropoulos MN, Halazonetis DJ. Significance of the soft tissue profile on facial esthetics. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2001;119:464-71.
61. Sreesan NS, Purushothaman B, Rahul CS, Shafanath T, Fawaz V. Clinical photography in orthodontics. *Int J Oral Health Med Res* 2016;3:71-75.
62. Marchiori GE, Sodr  LO, Cunha TCRd, et al. Pleasantness of facial profile and its correlation with soft tissue cephalometric parameters: Perception of orthodontists and lay people. *Eur J Dent* 2015;9:352-55.
63. Meneghini F. Clinical facial analysis. Berlin: Springer; 2005. p. 18.
64. Dahlan MS. Statistik untuk kedokteran dan kesehatan: Deskriptif, bivariat, dan multivariat. 6 ed. Jakarta: Epidemiologi Indonesia; 2014. p. 64, 217-19, 41-43.

65. Dahlan MS. Langkah-langkah membuat proposal penelitian bidang kedokteran dan kesehatan. Jakarta: Sagung Seto; 2010. p. 102.
66. Rattray J, Jones MC. Essential elements of questionnaire design and development. *J Clin Nurs* 2007;16:234-43.
67. Panayides P. Coefficient Alpha. *Eur J Psychol* 2013;9:987-96.
68. Samsyanová L, Broukal Z. A Systematic Review of Individual Motivational Factors in Orthodontic Treatment: Facial Attractiveness as the Main Motivational Factor in Orthodontic Treatment. *Int J Dent* 2014;2014:1-7.
69. Paul RK, Raju AS, Sunil PC, et al. Facial Attractiveness: An Orthodontic Prospective. *Sch J Dent Sci* 2015;2:119-21.
70. Harris DL, Carr AT. Prevalence of concern about physical appearance in the general population. *Brit J Plast Surg* 2001;54:223-26.
71. Depkes. Profil Kesehatan Indonesia 2008. Jakarta: Departemen Kesehatan Republik Indonesia; 2009.
72. Taki AA, Guidoum A. Facial profile preferences, self-awareness and perception among groups of people in the United Arab Emirates. *J Orthodont Sci* 2014;3:55-61.
73. Hier LA, Evans CA, BeGole EA, Giddon DB. Comparison of Preferences in lip position using computer animated imaging. *Angle Orthod* 1999;69:231-38.
74. Naini FB, Donaldson ANA, Cobourne MT, McDonald F. Assessing the influence of mandibular prominence on perceived attractiveness in the orthognathic patient, clinician, and layperson *Eur J Orthod* 2012;738-46.
75. Depkes. Pedoman nasional etika penelitian kesehatan: Depkes RI; 2004. p. 31-34.
76. Murakami T, Kataoka T, Tagawa J, Yamashiro T, Kamioka H. Antero-posterior and vertical facial type variations influence the aesthetic preference of the antero-posterior lip positions. *Eur J Orthod* 2016;38:414-21.
77. Chung EH, Borzabadi-Farahani A, Yen SL-K. Clinicians and laypeople assessment of facial attractiveness in patients with cleft lip and palate treated with LeFort I surgery or late maxillary protraction. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2013;7:1446-50.

