

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Wong KM, van Wely M, Verhoeve HR, Kaaijk EM, Mol F, van der Veen F, et al. Transfer of fresh or frozen embryos: A randomised controlled trial. *Human Reproduction*. 2021 Apr 1;36(4):998–1006.
2. Stormlund S, Sopa N, Zedeler A, Bogstad J, Prætorius L, Nielsen HS, et al. Freeze-All versus fresh blastocyst transfer strategy during in vitro fertilisation in women with regular menstrual cycles: Multicentre randomised controlled trial. *The BMJ*. 2020 Aug 5;370.
3. Basirat Z, Adib Rad H, Esmailzadeh S, Gholam Ali Jorsaraei S, Hajian-Tilaki K, Pasha H, et al. Comparison of pregnancy rate between fresh embryo transfers and frozen-thawed embryo transfers following ICSI treatment. Vol. 14, *Int J Reprod BioMed*. 2016.
4. Seyedshohadaei F, Rahmani K, Allahveisi A, Rezaei M, Rezaie MJ, Zandvakili F, et al. Fresh or Frozen Embryo Transfer in The Antagonist In Vitro Fertilization Cycles: A Retrospective Cohort Study. *Int J Fertil Steril*. 2022 Oct 1;16(4):256–62.
5. Data pasien Klinik Morula IVF Padang. Padang; 2020.
6. Roque M, Bedoschi G, Cecchino GN, Esteves SC. Fresh versus frozen blastocyst transfer. Vol. 394, *The Lancet*. Lancet Publishing Group; 2019. p. 1227–8.

7. Insogna IG, Lanes A, Lee MS, Ginsburg ES, Fox JH. Association of Fresh Embryo Transfers Compared with Cryopreserved-Thawed Embryo Transfers with Live Birth Rate among Women Undergoing Assisted Reproduction Using Freshly Retrieved Donor Oocytes. *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2021 Jan 12;325(2):156–63.
8. Shetty RK, Nadkarni PK, Singh PP, Singh P, Nadkarni AA, Nadkarni VK. Fresh versus frozen embryo transfer: a retrospective cohort study. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol*. 2019 Aug 26;8(9):3774.
9. Fan L, Tang N, Yao C, Wei X, Tang Y, Li J, et al. Association Between Fresh Embryo Transfers and Frozen–Thawed Embryo Transfers Regarding Live Birth Rates Among Women Undergoing Long Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Antagonist Protocols. *Front Cell Dev Biol*. 2022 Apr 28;10.
10. Acet F, Hortu I, Sahin G, Goker ENT, Tavmergen E. Is frozen embryo transfer better than fresh embryo transfer in women undergoing intracytoplasmic sperm injection over the age of thirty-five? A single referral centre experience. *J Obstet Gynaecol (Lahore)*. 2022;42(2):276–80.
11. Boynukalin FK, Turgut NE, Gultomruk M, Ecemis S, Yarkiner Z, Findikli N, et al. Impact of elective frozen vs. fresh embryo transfer strategies on cumulative live birth: Do deleterious effects still exist in normal & hyper responders? *PLoS One*. 2020 Jun 1;15(6 June).
12. Maheshwari A, Bari V, Bell JL, Bhattacharya S, Bhide P, Bowler U, et al. Transfer of thawed frozen embryo versus fresh embryo to improve the

- healthy baby rate in women undergoing IVF: the E-Freeze RCT. *Health Technol Assess (Rockv)*. 2022 May;26(25):1–142.
13. Zaat T, Zagers M, Mol F, Goddijn M, van Wely M, Mastenbroek S. Fresh versus frozen embryo transfers in assisted reproduction. Vol. 2021, *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. John Wiley and Sons Ltd; 2021.
 14. Shi Y, Sun Y, Hao C, Zhang H, Wei D, Zhang Y, et al. Transfer of Fresh versus Frozen Embryos in Ovulatory Women. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2018 Jan 11;378(2):126–36.
 15. Hou Z, Zhang Q, Zhao J, Xu A, He A, Huang X, et al. Value of endometrial echo pattern transformation after hCG trigger in predicting IVF pregnancy outcome: a prospective cohort study. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol*. 2019 Sep 5;17(1):74.
 16. Zhang T, Li Z, Ren X, Huang B, Zhu G, Yang W, et al. Endometrial thickness as a predictor of the reproductive outcomes in fresh and frozen embryo transfer cycles. *Medicine (United States)*. 2018 Jan 1;97(4).
 17. Chen H, Li J, Cai S, Zeng S, Yin C, Kuang W, et al. Impact of body mass index (BMI) on the success rate of fresh embryo transfer in women undergoing first in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection (IVF/ICSI) treatment. *Int J Obes*. 2022 Jan 9;46(1):202–10.
 18. Liao SJ, Wang R, Hu C, Pan W, Pan W, Yu D, et al. Analysis of endometrial thickness patterns and pregnancy outcomes considering 12,991 fresh IVF cycles. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*. 2021 Dec 1;21(1).

19. Babayev E, Matevossian K, Hensley C, Zhang JX, Bulun SE. Baseline Endometrial Thickness or Endometrial Thickness Change in Response to Estradiol Is Not Predictive of Frozen Embryo Transfer Success in Medicated Cycles. *Reproductive Sciences*. 2020 Dec 1;27(12):2242–6.
20. Verela PV. The Embryo-endometrium Crosstalk during Human Implantation: A Focus on Molecular Determinants and Microbial Environment . [Barcelona]: Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona; 2019.
21. Lessey BA. Endometrial Receptivity and The Window of Implantation. *Baillieres Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2000;14(5):775–88.
22. Critchley HOD, Maybin JA, Armstrong GM, William ARW. Physiology of the Endometrium and Regulation of Menstruation. *Physiol Rev* 100. 2020;1149–79.
23. Simon C. Endometrial Receptivity, What Time is Ideal to Transfer. *RBMO*. 2019;38(S1):1–5.
24. Aplin J. Uterus-Endometrium. In: *Encyclopedia of Reproduction*, 2nd Edition: Elsevier Online. 2018. p. 2–15.
25. Chan C. Application of Minimally-Invasive Uterine Fluid Aspiration to Identify Candidate Biomarkers of Endometrial Receptivity through A Transcriptomic Approach [Dissertation]. [Toronto]: Institute of Medical Science University of Toronto; 2012.

26. Gardner DK, Weissman A, Howles CM, Shoham Z. Evaluation of Embryo Quality. In: Textbook of Assisted Reproductive Techniques Volume 1: Laboratory Perspective 4th Edition. London: Informa Healthcare; 2012.
27. Shahbazi MN. Mechanism of Human Embryo Development : from cell fate to tissue shape and back. The Company Biologist. 2020;1–14.
28. Chapter 3 First Week of Development. In: Langman's Medical Embryology. 11th ed. 2010. p. 33–46.
29. Seppala M, Lessey B. Chapter Twenty One : Determination Of Endometrial Status And The Implantation Window at Blerkom JV eds : Essential IVF. In: Springer Science and Business Media New York. 2004. p. 577–8.
30. Neykova K, Tosto V, Giardina I, Tsibizova V, Vakrilov G. Endometrial Receptivity and Pregnancy Outcome. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine. 2020;1–16.
31. Leon PS, Garrido N, Remohi J, Pellicer A, Gimeno PD. Asynchronous and Pathological of Implantation : Two Causes of Recurrent Implantation Failure. Human Reproduction. 2018;1–10.
32. Altmäe S, Koel M, Võsa U, Adler P, Suhorutšenko M, Laisk-Podar T, et al. Meta-signature of human endometrial receptivity: a meta-analysis and validation study of transcriptomic biomarkers. Sci Rep. 2017 Dec 30;7(1):10077.

33. Campbell KL, Rockett JC. Biomarkers of Ovulation, Endometrial Receptivity, Fertilisation, Implantation, and Early Pregnancy Progression. In.
34. He A, Wu H, Zou Y, Wan C, Zhao J, Zhang Q. Uterine Fluid Transcriptome As Potential Non-Invasive Biomarker For Predicting Endometrial Receptivity. medRxiv preprint. 2019;1–24.
35. Edgell TA, Rombauts LJF, Salamonsen LA. Assessing Receptivity In the Endometrium: The Need For A Rapid, Non Invasif Test. Elsevier: Reproductive BioMedicine Online. 2013;486–96.
36. Kresowik JDK, Devor EJ, Voorhis BJV, Leslie KK. MicroRNA-31 Is Significantly Elevated in Both Human Endometrium and Serum During the Window of Implantation : A Potential Biomarker for Optimum Receptivity. Biol Reprod. 2014;91(17):1–6.
37. Aplin JD, Fazleabas AT, Glasser SR, Giudice LC, editor. The Endometrium Molecular, Cellular, and Clinical Perspectives. 2nd ed. London: Informa Healthcare; 2002.
38. Lessey BA, Young SL. What Exactly is Endometrial Receptivity?. . American Society for Reproductive Medicine: Elsevier. 2019;111:611–7.
39. Garrido-Gomez T, Ruiz-Alonso M, Blesa D, Diaz-Gimeno P, Viella F, Simon C. Profiling The Gene Signature Of Endometrial Receptivity : Clinical Results. Fertil Steril. 2013;99(4):1078–85.

40. Trollice MP, Amyradakis G. Biomarkers Related to Endometrial Receptivity and Implantation. *Intech Open : Advances in Embrio Transfer*. 2012;15:207–24.
41. Ozturk S, Demir R. Particular Functions of Estradiol and Progesteron in Establish of Uterine Receptivity and Embryo Implantation. *Histol Histopathol*. 2010;25:1215–28.
42. Robert CA. Endometrial Receptivity Array For Individual Determination of Endometrial Receptivity. *Hum Reprod Open*. 2020;
43. Altmae S, Aghajanova L. Growth Hormon and Endometrial Receptivity. *Frontier in Endocrinology*. 2019;10(653):1–11.
44. Elnashar AM, Aboul-Enein GI. Endometrial Receptivity. *Middle East Fertil Soc J*. 2004;9(1):10–24.
45. Lessey BA. Assesment of Endometrial Receptivity. *American Society for Reproductive Medicine:Elsevier*. 2011;96(3):522–9.
46. Aghajanova L, Hamilton AE, Gludice LC. Uterine Receptivity to Human Embryonic Implantation : Histology, Biomarkers, and Transcriptomics. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*. 2008;19(2):204–11.
47. Lessey BA, Kim JJ. Endometrial Receptivity in the ectopic endometrium of women with endometriosis : it is affected, and let me show you why. *American Society for Reproductive Medicine Fertility and Sterility*. 2017;108(1):19–27.

48. Massimiani M, Lacconi V, Civita FL, Ticconi C, Rago R, Campagnolo L. Molecular Signaling Regulating Endometrium-Blastocyst Crosstalk. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2019;21(23):1–30.
49. Evans GE, Martinez-Conojero JA, Phillipson GTM, Simon C, McNoe LA, Sykes PH. Gene and Protein Expression Signature of Endometrial Glandular and Stromal Components During The Window of Implantation. *American Society for Reproductive Medicine*. 2012;97(6):1365–73.
50. Mikich AB, Ferreira MO, Oliveira RD, Frantz N. Platelet-Rich Plasma or Blood Derived Products to Improve Endometrial Receptivity? *Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics* . 2019;36:613–20.
51. López-Luna A, Hernández-Melchor D, Ramírez-Martínez L, López-Bayghen E. The Genetic and Biochemical Blueprint of Endometrial Receptivity: Past, Present, and Future Factors Involved in Embryo Implantation Success. In: *Modern Medical Genetics and Genomics*. IntechOpen; 2019.
52. Altmae S. Human Endometrial Receptivity and Embryo-endometrium Interactions [Dissertation]. [Stockholm]: Clinical Science, Intervention and Technology Karolinska Institutet; 2011.
53. Vilella F, Ramirez LB, Simon C. Lipidomics as an Emerging Tool to Predict Endometrial Receptivity. *Fertil Steril*. 2013;99(4):1100–6.
54. Edgell TA. Chapter 3 : Protein Biomarkers of Endometrial Receptivity. Subject to the Cambridge Core Terms and Use. www.cambridge.org/core. 2019. p. 19–26.

55. Dorostghoal M, Ghaffari HO, Shahbazian N, Mirani M. Endometrial expression of $\beta 3$ integrin, calcitonin and plexin-B1 in the window of implantation in women with unexplained infertility. *Int J Reprod Biomed.* 2017;15(1):33–40.
56. Jiao Y, Xue N, Shui X, Yu C, Hu C. Application of Ultrasound Multimodal Score In The Assasment of Endometrial Receptivity In Patients With Artificial Abortion. *Insights Imaging.* 2020;11(29):1–7.
57. Alcazar JL. Three-dimensional Ultrasound Asessment of Endometrial Receptivity: A review. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology.* 2006;4(56):1–3.
58. Mercé LT, Barco MJ, Bau S. 2D and 3D Power Doppler Ultrasound of Endometrium as Implantation Marker. *Donald School Journal of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology.* 2(2):1–11.
59. Hung Yu E, Chung P. Ultrasound Assessment of Endometrial Receptivity in in vitro Fertilization Treatment. *Donald School Journal of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology.* 2010;4(2):179–88.
60. Malhotra N, Malhotra J, Singh A, Gupta P, Malhotra N. Endometrial receptivity and scoring for prediction of implantation and newer markers. *Journal of SAFOG.* 2017;9(2):143–54.
61. Rashid NA, Lalitkumar S, Lalitkumar PG, Danielsson KG. Endometrial Receptivity and Human Embyo Implantation. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology.* 2011;66(1):23–30.

62. Craciunas L, Gallos I, Chu J, Bourne T, Quenby S, Brosens JJ, et al. Conventional and Modern Markers of Endometrial Receptivity: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2009;1–22.
63. Adenin I. Kadar Serum Prokineticin-1 (Endocrine Gland Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor) sebagai Penanda Alternatif untuk Menilai Resptivitas Endometrium [Disertasi]. [Medan]: Universitas Sumatera Utara; 2019.
64. Cable JK, Grider MH. Physiology, progesteron. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK558960/>. 2021.
65. Sato T, Miyagawa S, Iguchi T. Progesteron in: “Handbook of Hormons.” Elsevier. 2016;
66. Marquardt RM, Kim TH, Shin JH, Jeong JW. Progesterone and estradiol signaling in the endometrium: What goes wrong in endometriosis? Vol. 20, *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. MDPI AG; 2019.
67. Singh G, Puckett Y. Endometrial hyperplasia. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK560693/>. 2022.
68. Taraborrelli S. Physiology, production and action of progesterone. Vol. 94, *Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica*. Taylor and Francis Ltd.; 2015. p. 8–16.
69. Ye J, Zhang J, Gao H, Zhu Y, Wang Y, Cai R, et al. Effect of Endometrial Thickness Change in Response to Progesterone Administration on

Pregnancy Outcomes in Frozen-Thawed Embryo Transfer: Analysis of 4465 Cycles. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2020 Oct 29;11.

70. Segal S, Casper RF. Progesteron supplementation increases luteal phase endometrial thickness and oestradiol levels in in-vitro fertilization. *Human Reproduction*. 1992;7(9):1210–3.
71. Bu Zhiqin, Yang X, Song L, Kang B, Sun Y. The impact of endometrial thickness change after progesteron administration on pregnancy outcome in patients transferred with single frozen-thawed blastocyst. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology*. 2019;17(99):1–6.
72. Haas J, Smith R, Zilberberg E, Nayot D, Meriano J, Barzilay E, et al. Endometrial compaction (decreased thickness) in response to progesterone results in optimal pregnancy outcome in frozen-thawed embryo transfers. *Fertil Steril*. 2019 Sep;112(3):503-509.e1.
73. Retis-Resendiz AM, González-García IN, León-Juárez M, Camacho-Arroyo I, Cerbón M, Vázquez-Martínez ER. The role of epigenetic mechanisms in the regulation of gene expression in the cyclical endometrium. *Clin Epigenetics*. 2021 Dec 1;13(1).
74. Silva R, et al. Estradiol signaling in the proliferative endometrium: implications in endometriosis. *Rev assoc med bras*. 2016;62(1):72–7.
75. Rehman R et al. Impact of serum and follicular fluid kisspeptin and estradiol on oocyte maturity and endometrial thickness among unexplained infertile females during ICSI. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(10).

76. Parrochia FP et al. Endometrium and steroids, a pathologic overview. *Steroids*. 2017;85(91).
77. Corroenne R, el Hachem H, Verhaeghe C, Legendre G, Dreux C, Jeanneteau P, et al. Endometrial preparation for frozen-thawed embryo transfer in an artificial cycle: transdermal versus vaginal estradiol. *Sci Rep*. 2020 Dec 1;10(1).
78. Wen L, Chen LH, Li HY, Chang SP, Liao CY, Tsui KH, et al. Roles of estradiol and progesterone in endometrial hemodynamics and vascular endothelial growth factor production. *Journal of the Chinese Medical Association*. 2009;72(4):188–93.
79. Pandurangi M, Reddy N, Rani G, Kirubamani H. Does pretrigger echogenic endometrium in assisted reproductive technology cycles reflect raised serum progesterone level? *J Hum Reprod Sci*. 2018 Jan 1;11(1):29–33.
80. Zhang Q, Li Z, Wang Y, Lu H, Yu Y. The relationship and optimal threshold of endometrial thickness with early clinical pregnancy in frozen embryo transfer cycles. *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics*. 2022 Jan 1;156(1):55–63.
81. Fanchin R, Righini C, Ois Olivennes F, Lle Taieb J, de Ziegler D, Frydman R. Computerized assessment of endometrial echogenicity: clues to the endometrial effects of premature progesterone elevation. *Fertil Steril*. 1999;71(1).
82. del Valle A, Zhang W, Kuznyetsova I, Singare M. Endometrial pattern change from the start of progesterone administration to day of embryo

transfer as predictor of endometrial receptivity in donor oocyte cycles. *Fertil Steril*. 2011 Sep;96(3):S143.

83. Hasibuan A. Hubungan Kadar Estradiol dan Progesteron Terhadap Gambaran Endometrium Secara Ultrasonografi pada Fase Folikular Lanjut pada Hiperstimulasi Ovarium Terkendali [Internet] [Tesis Magister]. [Medan]: Fakultas Kedokteran; 2017 [cited 2022 Nov 4]. Available from: <https://repositori.usu.ac.id/handle/123456789/19634>
84. Corbacioglu A, Baysal B. Effects of Steroid Hormone Levels on the Ultrasound Appearance of the Preovulatory Endometrium in Controlled Ovarian Hyperstimulation Cycles. *Int J Fertil Steril*. 2012;5(4):203–6.
85. Dickey RP. Ultrasonography of the endometrium. In: *Ultrasonography in Reproductive Medicine and Infertility*. Cambridge University Press; 2010. p. 97–102.
86. Ohno Y, Fujimoto Y. Endometrial oestradiol and progesterone receptors and their relationship to sonographic appearance of the endometrium. *Hum Reprod Update*. 1998;4(5):560–4.
87. Chen X, Jin X, Liu L, Man CW, Huang J, Wang CC, et al. Differential expression of vascular endothelial growth factor angiogenic factors in different endometrial compartments in women who have an elevated progesterone level before oocyte retrieval, during in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer treatment. *Fertil Steril*. 2015 Oct 1;104(4):1030–6.

88. Kim M, Park HJ, Seol JW, Jang JY, Cho YS, Kim KY et al. VEGF-A regulated by progesteron governs uterine angiogenesis and vascular remodelling during pregnancy. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2013;5:1415–30.
89. Walter LM, Rogers PAW, Girling JE. The role of progesteron in endometrial angiogenesis in pregnant and ovariectomised mice. *Reproduction.* 2005;129:765–77.
90. Nandi A, Martins WP, Jayaprakasan K, Clewes JS, Campbell BK, Raine FNJ. Assessment of endometrial and subendometrial blood flow in women undergoing frozen embryo transfer cycles. *Reproductive BioMedicine.* 2014;28:342–51.
91. Mandalà M. Influence of estradiols on uterine vascular adaptation in normal and preeclamptic pregnancies. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020 Apr 1;21(7).
92. Hassan A, et al. Uterine artery and endometrial vascularity doppler indices and pregnancy outcome in ICSI. *Medico-legal Update.* 2020;20(4).
93. Check JH, Gandica R, Dietterich C, Lurie D. Evaluation of a nonhomogeneous endometrial echo pattern in the midluteal phase as a potential factor associated with unexplained infertility.
94. Lan KC, Lin PY, Chang YC, Chen YJ, Tsai YR, Ismaeil Mohamed IS, et al. Growth hormone supplementation may improve the pregnancy rate and endometrial receptivity among women aged more than 40 years undergoing in vitro fertilization. *Biomed J.* 2019 Dec 1;42(6):411–6.

95. Chan JM, Sukumar AI, Ramalingam M, Ranbir Singh SS, Abdullah MF. The impact of endometrial thickness (EMT) on the day of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) administration on pregnancy outcomes: a 5-year retrospective cohort analysis in Malaysia. *Fertil Res Pract*. 2018 Dec;4(1).
96. Alfer J, Popovici RM, Fattahi A, Krieg J, Dittrich R, Beckmann MW, et al. Endometrial delay is found to be part of a normal individual dynamic transformation process. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2021 Dec 1;304(6):1599–609.
97. Shui X, Yu C, Li J, Jiao Y. Original Article Development and validation of a pregnancy prediction model based on ultrasonographic features related to endometrial receptivity. *Am J Transl Res [Internet]*. 2021;13(6):6156–65. Available from: www.ajtr.org
98. Flores VA, Kelk DA, Kodaman PH. Trilaminar endometrial pattern correlates with higher clinical pregnancy rates in frozen embryo transfer cycles. *Fertil Steril*. 2017 Sep;108(3):e358.
99. Gingold JA, Lee JA, Rodriguez-Purata J, Whitehouse MC, Sandler B, Grunfeld L, et al. Endometrial pattern, but not endometrial thickness, affects implantation rates in euploid embryo transfers. *Fertil Steril*. 2015 Sep 1;104(3):620-628.e5.
100. Yang W, Zhang T, Li Z, Ren X, Huang B, Zhu G, et al. Combined analysis of endometrial thickness and pattern in predicting clinical outcomes of frozen embryo transfer cycles with morphological good-quality blastocyst. *Medicine (United States)*. 2018 Jan 1;97(2).

101. Tsai HD, Chang CC, Hsieh YY, Lee CC, Lo HY. Artificial insemination. Role of endometrial thickness and pattern, of vascular impedance of the spiral and uterine arteries, and of the dominant follicle. *J Reprod Med*. 2000 Mar;45(3):195–200.
102. Zhao J, Zhang Q, Wang Y, Li Y. Endometrial pattern, thickness and growth in predicting pregnancy outcome following 3319 IVF cycle. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2014;29(3):291–8.
103. Masrour M, Yoonesi L, Aerabsheibani H. The effect of endometrial thickness and endometrial blood flow on pregnancy outcome in intrauterine insemination cycles. *J Family Med Prim Care*. 2019;8(9):2845.
104. Genc A, et al. Investigation of the endometrial thickness and estradiol level in athletes and sedentaries. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol*. 2019;
105. Malhotra N, Malhotra J, Singh A, Gupta P, Malhotra N. Endometrial receptivity and scoring for prediction of implantation and newer markers. *Journal of SAFOG*. 2017;9(2):143–54.
106. Lv H, Li X, Du J, Ling X, Diao F, Lu Q, et al. Effect of endometrial thickness and embryo quality on live-birth rate of fresh IVF/ICSI cycles: A retrospective cohort study. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology*. 2020 Aug 21;18(1).
107. Shaodi Z, Qiuyuan L, Yisha Y, Cuilian Z. The effect of endometrial thickness on pregnancy outcomes of frozen-thawed embryo transfer cycles which underwent hormone replacement therapy. *PLoS One*. 2020 Sep 1;15(9 September).

108. Eftekhari M, Mehrjardi SZ, Molaei B, Taheri F, Mangoli E. The correlation between endometrial thickness and pregnancy outcomes in fresh ART cycles with different age groups: a retrospective study. *Middle East Fertil Soc J*. 2019 Dec 1;24(1).
109. Teh WT, McBain J, Rogers P. What is the contribution of embryo-endometrial asynchrony to implantation failure? *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 2016;33:1419–30.
110. Kinnear S, Salamonsen LA, Francois M, Harley V, Evans J. Uterine SOX17: a key player in human endometrial receptivity and embryo implantation. *Nature Research*. 2019;9(15495):1–10.
111. Yoshinaga K. Uterine receptivity for blastocyst implantation. *Annals New York Academy of Science*. 1988;424–32.
112. Monsivialis D, Nagashima T, Prunskaitė HR, Nozawa K, Shimada K, Tang S. Endometrial receptivity and implantation require uterine BMP signaling through an ACVR2/SMAD1/SMAD5 axis. *Nature Communication*. 2021;12(3386):1–17.
113. Choe J, et al. In Vitro Fertilization. *StatPearls* [Internet]. 2021.
114. Sunderam S, Kissin DM, Crawford SB, Folger SG, Boulet SL, Warner L, et al. Assisted Reproductive Technology Surveillance - United States, 2015. *MMWR Surveill Summ*. 2018;67(3):1–28.
115. Iketubosin F. In vitro fertilization embryo transfer processes and pathway: A review from practice perspective. *Trop J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2018;35(3).

116. Ingale KV, et al. An overview on the Protocols used in the Management of Infertility. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2019;13(2):1–3.
117. Purata JR, Polyzos N. The Endometrium during and after Ovarian Hyperstimulation and the Role of Segmentation of Infertility Treatment. *ScientDirect*. 2018;1–15.
118. Con Grothusen C, Lalitkumar S, Rao Boggavarapu N, Gemzell-Danielsson K, Lalitkumar PG. Recent advances in understanding endometrial receptivity: molecular basis and clinical applications. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology*. 2014;72(2):148–57.
119. Nishihara S, Fukuda J, Ezoe K, Endo M, Nakagawa Y, Yamadera R, et al. Does the endometrial thickness on the day of the trigger affect the pregnancy outcomes after fresh cleaved embryo transfer in the clomiphene citrate-based minimal stimulation cycle? *Reprod Med Biol*. 2020 Apr 1;19(2):151–7.
120. Silva Martins R, Helio Oliani A, Vaz Oliani D, Martinez de Oliveira J. The predictive value of serial serum estradiol and serial endometrial volume on endometrial receptivity on assisted reproductive technology cycles. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2021 Dec 1;21(1).
121. Quaas AM, Gavrizi SZ, Peck JD, Diamond MP, Legro RS, Robinson RD, et al. Endometrial thickness after ovarian stimulation with gonadotropin, clomiphene, or letrozole for unexplained infertility, and association with treatment outcomes. *Fertil Steril*. 2021 Jan 1;115(1):213–20.

122. Mackens S, Santos-Ribeiro S, Orinx E, de Munck N, Racca A, Roelens C, et al. Impact of Serum Estradiol Levels Prior to Progesterone Administration in Artificially Prepared Frozen Embryo Transfer Cycles. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2020 Apr 30;11.
123. Chan JM, Sukumar AI, Ramalingam M, Ranbir Singh SS, Abdullah MF. The impact of endometrial thickness (EMT) on the day of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) administration on pregnancy outcomes: a 5-year retrospective cohort analysis in Malaysia. *Fertil Res Pract*. 2018 Dec;4(1).
124. Ma WG, Song H, Das SK, Paria BC, Dey SK. Estradiol is a critical determinant that specifies the duration of the window of uterine receptivity for implantation. *PNAS [Internet]*. 2003;100(5):2963–8. Available from: www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0530162100
125. Liu L, Huang J, Li TC, Hong XT, Laird S, Dai YD, et al. The effect of elevated progesterone levels before oocyte retrieval in women undergoing ovarian stimulation for IVF treatment on the genomic profile of peri-implantation endometrium. *J Reprod Immunol*. 2017 Jun 1;121:17–25.
126. Polim A, Handayani N, Aprilliana T, Silvia R, Sirait B, Boediono A, et al. Association between estradiol levels and clinical outcomes of IVF cycles with single blastocyst embryo transfer. *Asian Pacific Journal of Reproduction*. 2021 Mar 1;10(2):49–55.
127. Fournier SB, D'errico JN, Stapleton PA. Uterine Vascular Control Preconception and During Pregnancy. *Compr Physiol*. 2021 Jul 1;11(3):1871–93.

128. Law TSM, Cheung WC, Wu F, Zhang R, Chung JPW, Wang CC, et al. Endometrial Vascularization Characterized by Optical Coherence Tomography and Immunohistochemistry in Women Undergoing In Vitro Fertilization-Embryo Transfer Treatment. *Medicina (B Aires)*. 2019 Mar 27;55(4):81.
129. Lv Y, Du S, Huang X, Hao C. Follicular fluid estradiol is an improved predictor of *in vitro* fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection and embryo transfer outcomes. *Exp Ther Med*. 2020 Oct 2;20(6):1–1.
130. Mamedalieva NM, Kurmanova AM, Baikoshkarova SB, Issenova S, Bishekova B, Anartayeva GZ. The effectiveness of micronized progesterone in the complex therapy of ‘thin endometry’ syndrome. *Gynecological Endocrinology*. 2021;37(S1):26–30.
131. Salmasi S, Sharifi M, Rashidi B. Ovarian stimulation and exogenous progesterone affect the endometrial miR-16-5p, VEGF protein expression, and angiogenesis. *Microvasc Res*. 2021 Jan;133:104074.
132. Park YG, Choi J, Seol JW. Angiopoietin-2 regulated by progesterone induces uterine vascular remodeling during pregnancy. *Mol Med Rep*. 2020 Aug 1;22(2):1235–42.
133. Hassan A, Hussaini HA, Ammer L, Anbari A. Uterine Artery and Endometrial Vascularity DopplerIndices and Pregnancy Outcome in ICSI. *Medico-legal Update*. 2020;20(4).

134. Khan MS, Shaikh A, Ratnani R. Ultrasonography and Doppler Study to Predict Uterine Receptivity in Infertile Patients Undergoing Embryo Transfer. *The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India*. 2016 Oct 8;66(S1):377–82.
135. Wang Y, Tian Y, Liu L, Li TC, Tong X, Zhu H, et al. The number of previous failed embryo transfer cycles is an independent factor affecting implantation rate in women undergoing IVF/ICSI treatment: A retrospective cohort study. *Medicine*. 2021 Mar 5;100(9):e25034.
136. Klonos E, Katopodis P, Karteris E, Papanikolaou E, Tarlatzis B, Pados G. Endometrial changes in estradiol and progesterone receptor expression during implantation in an oocyte donation program. *Exp Ther Med*. 2020 Oct 12;20(6):1–1.
137. Moustafa S, Young S. Diagnostic and therapeutic options in recurrent implantation failure. *F1000Res*. 2020 Mar 25;9:208.
138. Care AS, Ingman W v., Moldenhauer LM, Jasper MJ, Robertson SA. Ovarian Steroid Hormone-Regulated Uterine Remodeling Occurs Independently of Macrophages in Mice¹. *Biol Reprod*. 2014 Sep 1;91(3).
139. Singh N, Kaur S, Malik N, Malhotra N, Vanamail P. Do increased levels of progesterone and progesterone/estradiol ratio on the day of human chorionic gonadotropin affects pregnancy outcome in long agonist protocol in fresh in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection cycles? *J Hum Reprod Sci*. 2015 Apr 1;8(2):80–5.