FOREST HEALTH CONDITIONS IN THE BIOLOGICAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH FOREST OF ANDALAS UNIVERSITY

BIOLOGY UNDERGRADUATE THESIS



DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCE UNIVERSITAS ANDALAS PADANG 2022

FOREST HEALTH CONDITIONS IN THE BIOLOGICAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH FOREST OF ANDALAS UNIVERSITY

BIOLOGY UNDERGRADUATE THESIS

Undergraduate Thesis Presented As One Of The Requirement To Obtain Bachelor Of Science Degree In Biology Department

BY:

AYU SMARNIA PUTRI

B.P. 1810422060

Padang, 26 December 2022

Approved by:

Supervisor I Prof. Dr. Erval Mukhtar

NIP, 195709011986031004

This undergraduate thesis has been defended in front of the committee of bachelor degree examination of Biology Depatment, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Andalas, Padang

In Monday, December 26th 2022



STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY

Here I declare that :

My undergraduate thesis is original and has never been submitted for a bachelor's degree at either Universitas Andalas or other collages. This thesis is purely of my own idea without any other asistence axpect the supervisors.

In this thesis there are no works or opinion that have been written or published by others, axpect stated in the bibliography. I made this statement based on the fact and if in the future there are deviation and untrustth in this statement, then I will accept academic sansactions in accordance with the applicable rules.

Padang, 26th December 2022

Ayu Smarnia Putri B.P. 1810422060



"Surely Allah will exalt those who believe among you and those who are given knowledge by degrees. And Allah is All-Knowing of what you do" (QS. Al-MujJadilah: 11) Alhamdulillahirabbil'alamiin...

Praise be to Allah SWT for the abundance of His mercy and grace so that this thesis can be completed properly. With Your blessing, I dedicate this thesis to the most extraordinary and valuable people in my life, to my parents, Father Martianus and Mother Hesti Yulianawati who have always supported me and prayed for me every step of the way in my life, as well as my brothers Indra Alexander, S.I.Kom and Raafi Alexander. I thank you for always giving prayer and encouragement.

Lastly, for the 2018 Falcon family, thank you for your time, for togetherness, for your kinship during the ups and downs of college, thanks also to uda uni who gave experience during college, and thank you to all parties who cannot be mentioned one by one. Hopefully this thesis is useful for all readers.

Last but not least, I wanna thank meI wanna thank me for believing in me I wanna thank me for doing all this hard work I wanna thank me for having no days off

I wanna thank me for, for never quitting I wanna thank me for always being a giver And tryna give more than I receive wanna thank me for tryna do more right than



Ayu Smarnia Putri, S.Si

PREFACE

Praises and thank to Allah SWT. who has given the help and permit so the writer can finish the thesis based on research under the title "Forest health conditions in the Biological Education and Research Forest of Andalas University". This thesis is one of requirements in order to finish the study in Biology Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Andalas.

For this opportunity the writer wants to say thank to Prof. Dr. Erizal Mukhtar as supervisor I who has given their valuable guidance, suggestion, motivation and help during the research and writing process. The writer also would like to say thank to everyone who given their hand to help the process of this thesis, they are:

- 1. Dr. Wilson Novarino as a Chairman of Biology Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Andalas.
- 2. Dr. Nurainas as academic supervisor who has given his though and motivation.
- Head of Plant Ecology Laboratory of Biology Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Andalas.
- 4. Dr. Solfiyeni, Prof. Dr. Syamsuardi and. Dr. Aadrean, as the examiners who have given their valuable guidance on the research process.
- All the lecturers and employees of Biology Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Andalas.
- 6. All parties who have helped the writer either directly or indirectly, both morally and materially so that this thesis can be completed.

Hopefully all the guidance and assistance that has been given to the author can be a good deed and get a reward from Allah SWT. The author realizes that everything he does is not without errors and is far from perfect, for this reason the author hopes that readers can provide input and suggestions regarding this thesis. Finally, the writer hopes that this thesis can be useful for the development of knowledge for the writer himself and the readers.

Padang, December 2022



ABSTRAK

Penelitian tentang kondisi kesehatan hutan di hutan di area kampus universitas andalas atau yang plot permanen di Hutan Penelitian dan Pendidikan Biologi (HPPB) telah dilakukan pada bulan Desember hingga Maret 2022 di plot permanen. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui tutupan tajuk dan kondisi Kesehatan hutan di plot permanen HPPB. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode *purposive sampling*, dimana pengambilan foto tutupan tajuk sebagai titik pusat pada setiap subplot berukuran 10 x 10m pada seluruh plot permanen seluas 1 ha menggunakan metode *hemispherical photography*. Kesimpulan dari 100 subplot yang diamati ditemukan sebanyak 78 subplot yang mempunyai persentase tutupan tajuk diatas diatas 65 % sehingga dapat disimpulkan kondisi hutan ini dapat dikategorikan sebagai very close forest. Analisa kesehatan hutan menyimpulkan bahwa indek kesehatan hutan sebesar 45,22 % sehingga hutan ini dapat dikategorikan sebagai kondisi sedang.



ABSTRACT

Research on the condition of forest health in forests in the Andalas University campus area or in permanent plots in the Biological Education and Research Forest of Andalas University (HPPB) has been carried out from December 2021 to March 2022 on permanent plots. This study aims to determine the canopy cover and forest health conditions in HPPB permanent plots. This study used a purposive sampling method, in which a photo of crown cover was taken as the center point for each sub-plot measuring 10 x 10 m on the entire permanent plot of 1 ha using the hemispherical photography method. The conclusion of the 100 subplots observed was that 78 subplots had a percentage of canopy cover greater than 65%, indicating that the condition of this forest can be classified as very close. The forest health analysis concluded that the forest health index was 45,22%, indicating that this forest was in moderate condition.



TABLE OF CONTENT

PREFACE	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF APPENDICES	ix
I. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Formulation INIVERSITAS ANDALAS	
1.3 Research Objectives	
1.4 Benefit of Research	
II. LITERATUR REVIEW	4
2.1 Study of Ecology	4
2.2 Forest	4
2.3 Canopy Cover	5
2.5 GLAMA	7
III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	10
3.1 Time and location	10
3.2 Description of Research Location	10
3.3 Research Methods	11
3.4 Tools	11
3.5 Working Procedure	11
3.5.1 Measuring Tree Diameter	11
3.5.2 Measurement Canopy Cover Photo with GLAMA	11
3.6 Data Analysis	
3.6.3 Forest Health Index	14
BAB IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION	15
4.1 Canopy Estimation Grouping	15
4.3 Important Value Index	20
4.4 Forest Health Index	20
BAB V. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	
5.1 Conclusion	22
5.2 Suggestions	22
REFERENCES	

BIODATA OF AUTHOR	3	;9
-------------------	---	----



LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
1. Canopy cover (left) and canopy closure (right)	6
2. Screens descriptions of the GLAMA	9
3. Description of HPPB Andalas University	10
4. Scheme of Plot Permanen Plot HPPB Andalas University	12
5. Canopy Cover With GLAMA application in 1ha area	16
6. Diameter distribution of 10 dominant trees at HPPB, Andalas University	19



LIST OF TABLES

	Page
1. Forest Health Index	14
2. Percentage of Canopy Cover in the Permanent Plot	15
3. Percentage of Canopy Cover Conditions in Forest Tress	17
4. Important Value Index	20



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Page
1. Calculate of Important Value Index	26
2. Important Value Index	29
3. Observation of Environmental Factor	32
4. Calculate of Forest Health Index	33
5. Field Documentation	38



I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Indonesia is home to the nation's biggest tropical rain forestand the widest variety of plant species (Indrawan *et al.*, 2007). Forest is a unified system that takes the form of a swath of land dominated by trees, where the environment cannot be separated in nature (UU No. 41, 1999). The interaction of biotic and abiotic components that make up an ecosystem result of the formation of forests. The structure and composition of the vegetation reflect this interplay (Soerinegara and Indrawan, 2005).

Forest is one of several natural resources that strongly impact in human life. Forests as the world's lungs, providing water reserves and supporting in the maintenance of the world's ecosystems' balance and integrity. Sumatra is one of Indonesia's islands with a high level of biodiversity and endemicity (Susanti *et al.*, 2013). West Sumatra is one of the provinces on the island of Sumatra with a great amount of protected forest areas. Forest lands for conservation purposes, production forests, and protection forests make West Sumatra's forest area (KLHK, 2018).

There is secondary forest in addition to primary forest. Primary forest regenerates into secondary forest. In scientific terminology, the phrase secondary forest has been used since 1950 (Richards 1996). Many countries do not use the term secondary forest since they prefer to refer to a "area assemblage of various local tree species" as a forest or natural forest. Whether the forest is logged over original forest or regenerated forest, it is referred to as natural forest. As a result, the phrase secondary forest is unfamiliar. The phrase secondary forest is sometimes used interchangeably with the term primary forest in many countries.

At the Key Biodiversity Area determination workshop conducted by Conservation International (CI) in connection with Andalas University in January 2006, HPPB was identified as one of the key areas of significant biodiversity in Sumatra. HPPB has also been used as a location for biodiversity research since 1982. (Rahman, 1994). The HPPB has been recognized as a research area. HPPB is located in the Andalas Limau Manis University Campus area, which is categorized as a lowland tropical rain forest with an area of 150 hectares and is located at an altitude of 250-460 meters above sea level. This forest is classified as secondary forest because it has a lot of open regions with a lot of logged trees and pioneer vegetation. This area, according to Rahman (1994), has three types of communities: primary forest, secondary forest, and shrub community. There are 174 tree species and 96 plant species in HPPB, according to studies.

The Hemispherical Photography (HP) method is one of the new methods for describing the forest canopy and light regime in Indonesia (Baksir *et al.*, 2018). (Bianchi *et al.*, 2017). used to calculate the light environment above the canopy of the forest Individual plants' canopy structure and light environment can be sampled for demographic studies. The Mobile Application of Gap Light Analysis (GLAMA) is a new program that supports this technology (Rich 1990). The program, according to Tichý (2016), was recently built by the author and may be downloaded for free from the Google Play website. Hemispherical, wide-angle, and standard samples also can be analyzed with it. Based on the above description, it is necessary to conduct research using this promising method of technological progress to know the current status and condition of the forest, whether it is in good, medium, or bad condition, using the GLAMA method has been conducted in the area. It is important to perform research on The Biological Education and Research Forest Of Andalas University (HPPB) By Using Glama Application as part of a long-term rehabilitation effort.

1.2 Problem Formulation

Based on the background described, the problem that can be formulated in this study: How is the forest condition by using GLAMA application in the Unand, Padang, West Sumatera Forest of Education and Research Forest (HPPB)?

1.3 Research Objectives

The objective of this research is:

To clarify the health condition of Forest of Education and Research Biology (HPPB) by using *GLAMA*.

1.4 Benefit of Research

It is hoped that the results of this study can be used as a guideline for forest conservation in the future.

II. LITERATUR REVIEW

2.1 Study of Ecology

Ecology is a part of biology that investigates how living organisms interact with their environments. Ecology is a basic science in environmental science that can be used to examine environmental interrelationships. There are two types of major components that drive these interactions: biotic and abiotic components. Humans apply ecological analysis to construct a sustainable environment in a way that can be held accountable for long-term viability and profitability. The study of the human environment, reforestation, germplasm conservation, and the collection of uncommon fruits and animals can all be done using ecological concepts. The balance and unity of interactions between ecosystem components that are connected are what give rise to ecological systems (Soerianegara and Indrawan, 2005; Rizal, dan Reda 2017; Kusmana *et al.*, 2022).

Plant ecology is the study of all environmental factors that impact the presence of a plant species or a plant community in a given location. Plants, animals, microorganisms, and humans are all things that can have an environmental impact. Plant ecology is primarily concerned with the interaction or reciprocal relationship between plants and their environments (Jayadi, 2015, Kusmana *et al.*, 2022).

2.2 Forest

Forests are big areas of land with biological natural resources that are dominated by trees in a natural setting and are inextricably linked to one anotherr. A forest is a living community composed of relationships of trees, vegetation, and animals from an ecological and biological standpoint. Forests, more specifically, are plant ecosystems dominated by trees with dense crowns (Irianto, 2008).

Forests serve a variety of purposes, including production forests, which have been forest regions dedicated to the production of forest products. A protected forest is a forest that serves to protect life by regulating water systems, preventing flooding, controlling erosion, preventing seawater intrusion, and maintaining soil fertility. A conservation forest has several characteristics that help to preserve plant and animal diversity as well as the ecosystem. A nature reserve forest is a forest with specific characteristics that functions as a protected area for biodiversity. Forests are divided into primary and secondary forest, Primary forest, also known as natural forest, is a forest region composed of plants from a variety of local plant species, whereas secondary forest is forest that has been utilized by people for purposes such as wood and instead replanted, or forest that has been human intervention (Irwanto, 2006; Kusmana *et al.*, 2022).

2.3 Canopy Cover

Canopy, also known as a tree canopy, is a situation created by the overlapping branches and leaves of trees. The more dense the canopy, the more difficult it will be for sunlight to pass through. There are two fundamental approaches to measure the forest canopy, namely canopy cover and canopy closure (Jenning *et al.*, 1999). Based on Figure 1 canopy cover is always measured in the vertical direction, with canopy closure involving the angle of view. Canopy cover measurement integrates information through a segment of the celestial hemisphere above one point below the ground. Ideally, the entire hemisphere should be assessed, although the segment being measured varies with the instrument used. The size of the canopy cover measures the presence or absence of a canopy vertically above the sample point throughout the forest area (Jennings *et al.*, 1999).



Figure 1. Canopy cover (left) and canopy closure (right) (Korhonen et al., 2006)

UNIVERSITAS ANDALAS

Some of the methods that are thought suitable for measuring canopy cover are the GRS densitometer, visual estimation, and photo hemispherical (HP). To estimate canopy cover, spherical and HP densitometers with wide viewing angles are adjusted. The canopy cover tends to grow when using the wide viewing angle technique. They used line transect sampling, which provides a more precise and unbiased assessment than digital pictures or ocular estimates, which have more fluctuation and are biased. As a result, analyzing all hemispherical photos did not yield a reliable estimate of canopy cover (Korhonen *et al.*, 2006, Paletto and Tosi, 2009). CaCo in his research to describe it as a more precise and accurate proxy for estimating canopy cover in forest ecosystems, as well as a means of introducing technological advances that allow the CaCo index to be calculated from images taken from smartphone screens or other cameras that are free to access by the ap (Tichý, 2016)

2.4 Hemispherical Photograph

The method is known as "Hemispherical Photography," and it entails utilizing a camera to determine the canopy area from beneath the tree canopy (Mauludin *et al.*, 2018). Hemispherical photography has been using in forest ecology. The specific limits connected with film cameras, on the other hand, have gradually stifled the broad adoption of this photographic medium. Advances in digital photography technology show great promise for overcoming hemispheric photography's major flaws, particularly in terms of field approaches and picture processing (Chianucci and Cutini, 2012). The more advanced technological improvements in hemispheric photography techniques will be more significant for research and particular studies, such as characterizing the structure of the forest canopy, connecting the forest canopy with animals, calculating the intensity of sunlight, etc (Paletto and Tosi, 2009).

2.5 GLAMA

Gap Light Analysis Mobile App (*GLAMA*) is a developed new program by the author which is freely accessible from the Google Play site. The GLAMA can be used to analyze hemispherical, wide-angle, and standard photos (within a known angle of view of the lens). The photo, which is analyzed directly from a smartphone or as a jpeg file on a computer, can be used directly in the field and taken with a digital camera. Most parameters need to be set only once, with subsequent photos being subject to most of the predefined ones (Tichý, 2016). This application can also be used to train students and plant ecologists to accurately estimate forest canopy cover in the field.

Based on previous research on canopy cover that has been carried out by Sukma (2021), in the PT. Tidar Kerinci Agung Solok Selatan, the estimated canopy cover was obtained using the Cleft Light Analysis Cellular Application. The highest and lowest canopy cover indexes were 94.45 % and 82.77 %, respectively, with the highest value of canopy cover found in tree species consisting of *Shorea parvifolia*, *Popowia pisocarpa*, *Quercus argentata*, and *Gironniera nervosa*, while the lowest value of canopy cover was found in tree species consisting of *Helicia robusta*, *Shorea leprosula* and *Parashorea lucida*. Subsequent research on canopy cover by Permana (2017) using the GLAMA software showed that the condition of the forest in the 1 ha permanent plot of the Bukit Tengah Pulau, PT. Kencana Sawit Indonesia Solok Selatan, had an average canopy cover of 77.64 %. A similar study was also conducted by Hazrina (2020) at the biological education and research forest of andalas university, with the result that the average value of canopy cover on a 1 ha permanent plot was

77.66 %.



Figure 2. Screens descriptions of the GLAMA

2.6 Forest Health

Forest health and ecosystem health are interconnected and have a level of biological integration. The level of biological integration between the two will produce the same characteristics, but there are still fundamental differences. Ecosystem health has ecosystem aspects that are more related to the pattern of vegetation cover in a broad

ecology, while forest health is more emphasis on the condition of a stand in relation to the benefits obtained (Oladejo *et al.*, 2018).

Generally, forest health is defined as the perceived condition of a forest derived from concerns about such factors as age, structure, composition, function, vigour, presence of unusual levels of insects or diseases, and resilience to disturbance. Forest health is a measure of a forest ecosystem's capacity to supply and allocate water, nutrients and energy in ways that increase or maintain ecosystem productivity while maintaining resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses. Perception and interpretation of forest health are influenced by individual and cultural viewpoints, land management objectives, spatial and temporal scales, the relative health of the stands that comprise the forest, and the appearance of the forest at a given point in time. There are many factors that can cause the disruption of the health of forests. These can be categorised into living (biotic) and non-living (abiotic) factors. Biotic agents include fungi, bacteria, viruses, insects, mites, parasitic plants, weeds, and larger animals. Non-living factors are related to weather (e.g. fire, wind, snow, hail, and lightning); water (e.g. flooding, waterlogging and drought); soil conditions (e.g. deficiency of nutrients or poor drainage); mechanical agents and chemicals (FAO 2003, Putra et al., 2019). TUK

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Time and location

This research was carried out from December 2021 to March 2022. The research location is in a 1.0 ha permanent plot of Forest Education and Biological Research (HPPB) of Andalas University, Padang and West Sumatera. Sample management and data analysis were carried out at the Plant Ecology Research Laboratory, Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Andalas University, Padang.

3.2 Description of Research Location

This research was carried out in the area of permanent plot located at the in a 1.0 ha permanent plot of The Biological Education and Research forest of Andalas University (HPPB), Padang, West Sumatera with a subplot. Size of 10 x 10 m as many as 100 subplots. The which is a secondary forest located at an altitude of 275 m above sea level which has an area of \pm 1 hectare.



Figure 3. Description of The Biological Education and Research Forest of Andalas University (Source :Modified by Ayu,2022).

3.3 Research Methods

This research was carried out conducted used was purposive sampling, and measuring canopy cover using the hemispherical photography method with GLAMA software. where photo shoots of canopy cover were taken as subplot central points on each 10 x 10 subplot in 1 ha permanent plots.

3.4 Tools

The tools used in this research are smartphone with *Gap Light Analysis Mobile App* (GLAMA) installed, fisheye lens, DBH meter (*Diameter at Breats Height*), Global Positioning System (GPS), tripod, and stationery.

3.5 Working Procedure

3.5.1 Measuring Tree Diameter

On each tree, that has been determined at the coordinates of 10 m^2 , Measure the diameter of the tree. The diameter of the tree measured was DBH (*Diameter at Breas Height*), which is the diameter of the trunk as high as 1.3 meters above the ground. Each subplot is to observe and measure its DBH in all trees, referring to the Biological Education And Research Forest Of Andalas University

3.5.2 Measurement Canopy Cover Photo with GLAMA

Before conducting research, a site survey must be conducted to determine the condition of the vegetation plot that will be used as the research location. Then, on a plot measuring 10x10m, photos are taken at the midpoint of each subplot and photos will be taken at a height of 1.5 m above ground level. The photo was taken using a smartphone with GLAMA installed and lens attached fisheye external. The recommended time to take this photo is when the sky is clear, around 10 am to 2 pm.



Figure 4. Scheme of The Biological Education And Research Forest Of Andalas



3.6 Data Analysis

3.6.1 Grouping of Canopy Coverings

After the data was collected using a formula calculated immediately by the application once the photo was obtained. As a result, the canopy photos from each subplot were gathered and put together for further study, and the gaps were identified. The canopy cover index can then be determined.

3.6.2 Relationship between density and basal area with canopy cover.

Analysis of the effect of upright density and basal area on canopy cover using a simple

linear regression analysis using MS.Excel.

3.6.3 Important Value Indices (IVI)

The effect of important values on canopy cover also uses simple linear regression using MS. Excel. According to Kusmana *et al.*, (2022) Important Value Index (INP), for the purposes of analyzing vegetation structure the following formula is used:

Density (D)	$D = \frac{\text{Number of individuals found}}{\text{area of plot m}^{2}}$
Relative density (DR)	$DR = \frac{\text{density of a species}}{\text{density all types}} x100$
Frequency (F)	$F = \frac{\text{Number of plots found by one type}}{\text{Number of plots}}$
Relative Frequency (FR)	$\frac{FR}{FR} = \frac{Frequency of a type}{Total frequency} x100$
Dominance (D)	$D = \frac{\text{Number of bases}}{\text{Area of plot sample}}$
Relative dominance (DR)	$DR = \frac{Domination of a type}{Domination of all types} x100$
Important Value Index	IVI = DenR + FR + DomR

3.6.3 Forest Health Index

Health Index is a measure that can show/describe/reflect the quality of forest ecosystems and the index can be compared between different locations or times (Safei *et al.*, 2019);

$$HI(\%) = [(S_C + S_D + S_{Nsp})/3]*10$$

Information :



BAB IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Canopy Estimation Grouping

Based on the results of Canopy Estimation using the Hemispherical Photography method contained in the permanent plot of the Forest of Education and Biological Research at Andalas University, it was found that the Total average canopy cover was 66.82 % with the smallest cover being 47.60 % and the largest was 77.32 %. A more detailed description of the 1 ha permanent plot of the HPPB is shown in Table 2.

 Table 2. Percentage of canopy cover in the biological education and research forest of

 Andalas University

		10		100 100			
Sub	Canopy	Sub	Canopy	Sub	Canopy	Sub	Canopy
Plot	Cover (%)	Plot	Cover (%)	Plot	Cover (%)	Plot	Cover (%)
1	65.50	26	73.83	51	61.40	76	63.55
2	72.76	27	71.45	52	70.31	77	65.04
3	66.40	28	69. <mark>2</mark> 6	53	68.89	78	69.14
4	64.82	29	62.87	54	72.83	79	73.01
5	68.49	30	58.00	55	69.89	80	60.42
6	66.63	31	67.66	56	70.01	81	65.62
7	70.33	32	71.49	57	69.06	82	68.91
8	66.06	33	72.84	58	67.90	83	67.34
9	69.51	34	73.91	59	67.09	84	70.21
10	47.60	35	70.60	60	75.26	85	62.96
11	59.86	36	67.30	61	61.86	86	64.22
12	63.80	37	66.83	62	71.35	87	66.62
13	65.65	38	62.03	63	62.60	88	62.47
14	66.23	39	70.01	64	69.53	89	71.17
15	71.31	40	58.93	65	57.98	90	65.34
16	64.57	41	71.31	66	71.48	91	66.67
17	68,55	42	69.87	67	67.76	92	71.70
18	64.84	< (43	67.67EDJ	A 68 A A	N68.44	93	73.75
19	65.24	44 UK	70.95	69	65.12	94	70.45
20	71.33	45	66.42	70	64.99	95	77.32
21	63.87	46	74.28	71	58.00	96	70.51
22	58.46	47	70.51	72	67.00	97	68.61
23	70.37	48	70.37	73	72.84	98	64.41
24	70.81	49	69.10	74	70.95	99	70.53
25	65.73	50	72.59	75	69.14	100	65.05
Total	average $= 66$.	82 %					

As displayed in the image below, canopy cover in biological education and research forests utilizing the Hemisperichal photographic method with the application of GLAMA varies in each sub-plot. More detailed description can be seen in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Canopy cover with *GLAMA* application in The Biological Education and Research Forest of Andalas University.

The canopy cover tends to grow when using the wide viewing angle technique.. They used line transect sampling, which provides a more precise and unbiased assessment than digital pictures or ocular estimates, which have more fluctuation and are biased. This metode can be used to analyze hemispherical, wide-angle, and standard photos. The photo, which is analyzed directly from a smartphone or as a jpeg file on a computer, can be used directly in the field and taken with a digital camera. Most parameters need to be set only once, with subsequent photos being subject to most of the predefined ones (Korhonen *et al.* 2006, Paletto and Tosi, 2009, Tichý, 2016). This application can also be used to train students and plant ecologists to accurately estimate forest canopy cover in the field. Based on the results of the canopy estimation using the GLAMA application, it has more than 50% with a canopy percentage of 65%. Our results show that this forest can be estimated as a forest as close as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Percentage of canopy cover conditions in forest trees (Korhonen et al., 2006)

No	Forest condition	Canopy Percentage	Total of Subplot
1	Rarely	0%-30%	0
2	Close forest	30%-65%	22
3	Very Close Forest	65%-100%	78

The forest canopy, defined as the sum of all crowns in a forest stand (Gill et al. 2000), is an important indicator for predicting woody plant composition, leaf area index (LAI) or vegetation area index, tree volume and net primary production, and assessing tree crown condition or forest pest damage and wildlife microhabitat. The literature focus on the many purposes of assessing forest cover is substantial, as are the papers reporting on various methodologies and devices used to assess it. This parameter has an impact on a variety of ecological processes in forest communities (and it's a key variable in studies of natural hazards dynamics like as landslides, rockfalls, snow slippage, and avalanches (forest protective function assessment models understorey vegetative productivity and forest management (Motta and Haudemand, 2000). Furthermore, forest cover is an important ecological parameter of the forest ecosystem for its relationship with species richness, ecological habitat, and behavior and watershed protection (Crookston and Stage, 1999). Furthermore, one of the Forest Resources Assessment factors used to distinguish between "forest" and "other woody terrain" is canopy cover. In this context, land with a tree crown cover of >10% in an area of >0.5 ha and trees with (or capable of reaching) a minimum height of 5 m is considered a "forest." While land with a crown cover of 5–10 percent of trees able to

reach a height of 5 m (at maturity in situ) or a crown cover of >10 percent of trees not able to reach a height of 5 m, or with shrub or bush cover of >10 percent, is classified as 'other wooded land.' Many European National Forest Inventories (Motta and Haudemand, 2000).

4.2 Diameter Distributions

Based on the results of tree DBH measurements, 10 dominant tree species were found, including *Pternandra echinata* up to 32 individuals, *Symplocos cochinchinensis* up to 18 individuals, *Macaranga triloba* up to 15 individuals, *Lasianthus oblongus* up to 14 individuals, *Ficus* sp up to 11 individuals, *Pithecellobium jiringa* to 10 individuals, *Callerya atropurpurea* up to 9 individuals, *Artocarpus borneensis* up to 7 individuals, *Aglaia trichostemon* up to 7 individuals, and *Eurya acuminata* up to 7 individuals. Figure 6 below offers a more detailed explanation. Several tree species were found to be classified as young trees such as *Pternandra echinata, Symplocos cochinchinensis* and *Croton argyratum*.





Figure 6. Diameter distribution of 10 dominant trees In the biological education and research forest of Andalas University.

4.3 Important Value Index

Based on research on forest health conditions that have been carried out in the Permanent Plot, The Biological Education and Research Forest of Andalas University (HPPB), the values obtained with the parameters of Relative Density (KR), Relative Frequency (FR), Relative Dominance (DR) and INP. Through the results of the research that has been carried out, it is found that the significant value index of the 10 highest values ranges from 6.21% - 24.21. The highest significance index was found in the *Pternandra echinate species*, while the lowest significance index was found in the *Aglaia trichostemon*, for detailed it can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4	. Important value index		00	A CONTRACTOR		
No	Spesies	Family	DenR	FR	DomR	IVI
110.	Spesies		(%)	(%)	(%)	1 V 1
1	Pternandra echinata	Melastomataceae	10.27	9.46	4.46	24.21
2	Ficus sp	Moraceae	3.32	4.16	6.64	14.13
3	Ixonanthes <mark>icosandra</mark>	Ixonantaceae	2.11	2.65	9.19	13.96
4	Pithecellobium jiringa	Leguminosae	4.83	5.30	2.28	12.42
5	Lasianthus oblongus	Rubiaceae	4.22	4.16	3.24	11.64
6	Symplocoscochinchinensis	Symplocaceae	5.43	4.16	1.85	11.45
7	Macaranga triloba	Euphorbiaceae	4.53	4.54	1.24	10.31
8	Artocarpu <mark>s borneensis</mark>	Moraceae	2.41	2.27	5.15	9.84
9	Croton argyratus	Euphorbiaceae	2.41	3.03	0.82	6.27
10	Aglaia trichostemon	Meliaceae	2.11	1.51	2.58	6.215
				->		

Table 4. Important Value Index

4.4 Forest Health Index

Based on research that has been carried out in the permanent plot of the in the biological education and research forest of Andalas University. The forest health index value was obtained with an average of 45.22%. The parameters used to determine the value of the forest health index here are the percentage of canopy cover, trunk diameter (DBH) and the number of saplings per area. For more details can be seen in Appendix 4.

KEDJAJAAN

BANG

The forest health assessment is intended to determine the current forest condition, changes, and trends that may occur. Information about the health condition of forest ecosystems in many countries has become the goal of forest management, such as in the United States, which has become a national program, namely by conducting periodic forest health monitoring so that a comprehensive forest health assessment is carried out (Bianchi *et al.*, 2017). In Indonesia, awareness about the importance of forest health in achieving sustainable forest management is still lacking, especially in various types of forest so that forest health problems have so far not received serious attention. Whereas forest health is an effort to control the level of forest destruction that remains below the economic threshold that is still acceptable thus ensuring the security of investment, protection, production and conservation as well as forest functions that are sustainable, other types of forest can be realized (Safe'i *et al.*, 2014),

One of the forest health criteria for is the condition of the tree canopy. Canopy cover is the percentage of the land surface area covered by a vertical projection canopy of the vegetation canopy. Canopy is the topmost layer in a collection of vegetation, the canopy is formed by a collection of plant leaves and covers the layer below it. Canopy size is an important component in growth and there is a close relationship between canopy size and tree growth potential (Assmann, 1970)

BAB V. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1 Conclusion

Based on the results of research the health condition In the biological education and research forest of Andalas University by using GLAMA, it conluded as follows : The conclusion of the 100 subplots observed was that 78 subplots had a percentage of canopy cover greater than 65%, indicating that the condition of this forest can be classified as very close. The forest health analysis concluded that the forest health index was 45.22%, indicating that this forest was in moderate condition.

5.2 Suggestions

Because the forest canopy cover is in good condition and the forest health index is moderate, efforts are needed to protect it from degradation and illegal logging threats so that the forest's condition can be sustained.



REFERENCES

Assmann, E. 1970. Principles of Forest Yield Study. Pergamonn Press. New York

- Baksir, A., Mutmainnah, Akbar, N. and Ismail, F. Penilaian Kondisi Menggunakan Metode Hemispherical Photography Pada Ekosistem Mangrove Di Pesisir Desa Minaluli, Kecamatan Mangoli Utara, Kabupaten Kepulauan Sula, Provinsi Maluku Utara. Jurnal Sumberdaya Akuatik Indopasifik, 2(2):69-80, DOI: 10.30862/jsai-fpik-unipa.2018.Vol.2.No.2.52
- Bianchi, S., Crhistine., Sophie and James. 2017. Rapid Assessment of Forest Canopy and Light Regime Using Smartphone Hemispherical Photography. *Journal Ecology and Evolution*, 7(2): 10556-1066
- Chianucci, F. and Cutini, A. 2012. Digital Hemispherical Photography ForEstimating Forest Canopy Properties: Current Controversies and Opportunities. *Biogeosciences and Forestry*.
- Crookston, Nicholas L, Stage and Albert R. 1999. Percent canopy cover and stand structure statistics from the forest vegetation simulator. Ogden, UT (324 25th St., Ogden 84401) : U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station.
- FAO, 2003. An illustrated guide to the state of healt of trees. Recognition and interpretation of symptoms and damage. Eric Boa, Diagnostic and Advisory Service, CABI Bioscience, Egham, Surrey, United Kingdom
- Greig-Smith, P. 1952 Ecological observation on degraded and secondary forest in Trinidad, British West Indies. General feature of the vegetation. Journal of Ecology 40: 283-315.
- Hazrina, AS. 2020. Estimasi Canopy Dengan Menggunakan Aplikasi GLAMA di Hutan Kondisi Penelitian Hayati (HPPB) Universitas Andalas. Skripsi Jurusan Biologi Universitas Andalas. Padang.
- Indrawan, Mochamad., R.B. Premack., dan Jatna Supriatna. 2007. *Biologi Konservasi*. Edisi Revisi. Yayasan Obor Indonesia. Jakarta.
- Irwanto. 2006. Penilaian Kesehatan Tegakan Hutan Tegakan Jati Tectonia grandis dan Eucalyptus pellita Pada Kawasan Hutan Wanagama.Universitas Gadjah Mada. Yogyakarta.

- Jayadi, E. M. 2015. *Ekologi Tumbuhan* (PDF). Mataram: Institut Agama Islam Negeri Mataram. hlm. 2. ISBN 978-602-74071-0-7. Diarsipkan dari versi asli (PDF) tanggal 2020-03-31.
- Jennings, S. B., Brown, N. D., and Sheil, D. 1999. Assessing Forest Canopies and Understorey Illumination: Canopy Closure, Canopy Cover And Other Measures. *Forestry*. 72(1).
- Keith Morrison. 2007. *Research Methods In Education*. Sixth Edition. Routledge Taylor & Francis E-Library. USA and Canada.
- Keputusan Menteri Lingkungan Hidup RI No. 201 Tahun 2004 Tentang Kriteria Baku Dan Pedoman Penentuan Kerusakan Mangrove di Luar Kawasan Konservasi.

```
KLHK. 2018. Status Kehutanan 2018. KLHK. Jakarta.
```

- Korhonen, L., Korhonen, K. T., Rautiainen, M., and Stenberg, P. 2006. Estimation of Forest Canopy Cover: A Comparison of Field Measurement *Fennica*. 40 (4).
- Kusmana, C, Istomo, B. Winata and I. Hilwan. 2022. Ekologi hutan Indonesia. IPB Press. Bogor.
- Mangold, R. 1997. *Forest Health Monitoring*: Field Methods Guide.Buku. USDA Forest Service. New York. 246
- Mauludin, M.R., Azizah, R., Pribadi, R., dan Suryono. 2018. Komposisi dan Tutupan Kanopi Mangrove di Kawasan Ujung Piring Kabupaten Jepara.
- Motta, Renzo and Haudemand, JC. 2000. Protective forests and silvicultural stability
 - An example of planning in the Aosta Valley. *Mountain Research And Development*. 20. 180-187
- Mukhtar, E., Mizunaga., and T.Yoneda. 2004. *Sturcture And Composition Of Secondary Forest Tree In Limau Manis*. Tropic. Unpublished Data.
- Nurdiansah D dan I.W.E. Dharmawan. 2018. Komunitas Mangrove di Wilayah Pesisir Pulau Tidore dan Sekitarnya. Oseanologi dan Limnologi di Indonesia, 3(1): 1-9.
- Oladejo SO, D. Awoniran, and Ea O. 2018. Assessment of plant health status using remote sensing and GIS techniques. Advances in Plants & Agriculture Research vol.8 no 6, pp. 517-525
- Paletto, A and Tosi, V. 2009. Forest Canopy Cover and Canopy Closure: Comparison of Assessment Techniques. *European Journal of Forest Research*. 128: 265-272.

- Permana, G. 2017. Kondisi Hutan Konservasi PT. Kencana Sawit Indonesia (KSI) dengan Menggunakan Aplikasi Gap Light Analysis Mobile App (GLAMA).Skripsi Jurusan Biologi FMIPA Universitas Andalas. Padang.
- Putra, E.I; Supryanto; H. Purnomo; N.F. Haneda and J.R. Mantangaran. 2019. The use of Analytical Network Process (ANP) Approach to Assess the Health of Natural Production Forest. *IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science* 394 012040
- Rahman, M. 1994. Inventarisasi Sumber Daya Flora di Hutan Pendidikan dan Penelitian Biologi (HPPB)Universitas Andalas Padang. UNAND. Padang.
- Rich, P. M. 1990. Characterizing Plant Canopies with Hemispherical Photographs. *Remote Sensing Reviews*. 5(1): 13-29.
- Richards, P. W. 1996 *The tropical rain forest an ecological study*. Cambridge University Press, UK.
- Rizal, and Reda. 2017. Analisis Kualitas Lingkungan (PDF). Jakarta: Penerbit Lembaga Penelitian dan Pengabdian Masyarakat, Universitas Pembangunan Nasional Veteran Jakarta. hlm. 26. ISBN 978-602-19087-6-1.
- Safei R., Hardjanto, Supriyanto, Leti Sundawati. 2014. Value of Vitality Status in Monoculture and Agroforestry Planting Systems of the Community Forest. International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research 18(2):340-353.
- Safei, R; C. Wulandari dan H. Kaskoyo. 2019. Penilaian Kesehatan Hutan pada Berbagai Tipe Hutan di Provinsi Lampung. *Jurnal Sylva Lestari* Vol 7(1); 95-109.
- Soerianegara, I., dan Indrawan, A. 2005. *Ekologi Hutan Indonesia*. Fakultas Kehutanan Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor.
- Sukma, Silvana. 2021. Canopy Cover Estimation By Using Gap Light Analysis Mobile App at Prof. Sumitro Djojohadikusumo conservation area of PT. TKA South Solok. Skripsi Jurusan Biologi FMIPA Universitas Andalas. Padang.
- Tichý, L. 2016. Field Test of Canopy Cover Estimation by Hemispherical Photographs Taken With A Smartphone. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 27: 427-435.

Undang Undang RI No. 41 tahun 1999 tentang Kehutanan.

APPENDIX

Appendix 1. Calculate of Important Value Index

For example the calculation on the species Pternandra echinata :



= 4.4695 %

Appendix 1 continued

Important Value Index = DenR + FR + DomR

- = 10.271 % + 9.4697 % + 4.4695 %
- = 24.21 %



	Nama of Spesies	Family	VEKRIT	ASFRNI	DADR	INP
1	Adenanthera pavonina	Leguminosae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0325	0.7134
2	Adinandra dumosa	Theaceae	1.2085	1.1364	0.5969	<mark>2.9</mark> 417
3	Aglaia glabriflora	Meliaceae	0.9063	0.7576	0.5977	2.2616
4	<i>Aglaia</i> sp	Meliaceae	0.9063	0.7576	1.1787	<mark>2.8</mark> 427
5	Aglaia trichostemon	Meliaceae	<mark>2.1</mark> 148	1.5152	2.5815	<u>6.2</u> 115
6	Alstonia scholaris	Apocynaceae	0.9063	0.7576	1.8502	<mark>3.5</mark> 141
7	Aporosa benthamiana	Euphorbiaceae	0.6042	0.7576	0.2186	1.5804
8	Aporosa prainiana	Phyllanthaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.4967	1.1776
9	<i>Aporosa</i> sp	Euphorbiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	2.8686	3.5495
10	Artocarpus borneensis	Moraceae	2.4169	2.2727	5.1599	<mark>9.8</mark> 495
11	Artocarpus communis	Moraceae	0.6042	0.7576	0.9391	2.3009
12	Artocarpus dadah	Moraceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.7172	1.3981
13	Artocarpus elasticus	Moraceae	0.6042	0.7576	1.0789	2.4407
14	Artocarpus sp	Moraceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.5935	1.2744
15	Baccaurea retilculata	Euphorbiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.4516	1.1325
16	<i>Baccaurea</i> sp	Euphorbiaceae	0.6042	0.3788	0.7140	1.6970
17	Baccaurea velutina	Euphorbiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.3396	1.0205
18	Baccaurea wellichii	Euphorbiaceae	0.9063	1.1364	0.7928	2.8355
19	Baringtonia sp	Lecythidaceae	0.6042	0.7576	0.6759	2.0377
20	Baringtonia speciosa	Lecythidaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.2347	0.9156
21	Beilschmiedia pahangensis	Lauraceae	0.6042	0.3788	0.1918	1.1748
22	Buchanania sp	Anacardiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.1261	0.8070
23	Callerya atropurpurea	Leguminosae	2.7190	1.8939	1.5522	6.1652

Appendix 2. Important Value Indices In The Biological Education And Research Forest Of Andalas University

24	Callicarpa longifolia	Verbenaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0416	0.7225
25	Callophylum sp	Guttiferae	0.6042	0.3788	0.6063	1.5894
26	Canarium commune	Burseraceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.1327	0.8136
27	Canarium sp	Burseraceae	1.8127	1.1364	1.3469	4.2959
28	Castanopsis costata	Fagaceae	0.9063	1.1364	0.2620	2.3047
29	Castanopsis wallichii	Fagaceae	0.3021	A0.3788	0.4040	1.0849
30	Cleidion javanicum	Euphorbiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.6247	1.3056
31	Cleidion sp	Euphorbiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.9317	1.6126
32	Clorodendron deflexum	Verbenaceae	0.6042	0.3788	0.3226	1.3056
33	Clorodendron sp	Verbenaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0697	0.7 <mark>5</mark> 06
34	Commersonia bartramia	Malvaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.1724	0.8533
35	Croton argyratus	Euphorbiaceae	2.4169	3.0303	0.8281	<mark>6.2</mark> 753
36	Dalbergia balansae	Leguminosae	0.6042	0.3788	1.5796	2.5626
37	Dillenia indica	Dilleniaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.3045	0.9 <mark>854</mark>
38	<i>Dillenia</i> sp	Dilleniaceae	0.9063	1.1364	0.9651	3.0079
39	Dipterocarpus sp	Dipterocarpaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.1087	0.7896
40	Durio zibethinus	Bombacaceae	0.6042	0.7576	2.1871	3.5489
41	Elaeocarpus sp	Elaeocarpaceae	0.6042	0.7576	0.2421	1.6039
42	Elateriospermum tapus	Euphorbiaceae	1.8127	0.7576	0.6113	3.1816
43	Eugenia garcinifolia	Myrtaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.3187	0.9996
44	<i>Eugenia</i> sp	Myrtaceae	1.5106	1.5152	2.0490	5.0747
45	Eugenia syzygloides	Myrtaceae	0.6042	0.7576	0.1125	1.4743
46	Eurya acuminata	Theaceae	2.1148	1.8939	0.8229	4.8317
47	Eurycoma longifolia	Sterculiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0299	0.7108
48	Fagraea racemosa	Leguminosae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0470	0.7279
49	Ficus chartacea	Moraceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0281	0.7090
50	Ficus elastica	Moraceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.1300	0.8109
51	Ficus hispida	Moraceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0416	0.7225
52	Ficus lepicarpa	Moraceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.2382	0.9191

53	Ficus magnoliifolia	Moraceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.5019	1.1828
54	Ficus racemosa	Moraceae	1.5106	0.7576	2.1322	4.4004
55	Ficus scortechinii	Moraceae	0.9063	1.1364	0.3909	2.4336
56	Ficus sp	Moraceae	3.3233	4.1667	6.6436	14.1335
57	Ficus vasculosa	Moraceae	0.6042	0.3788	0.5543	1.5373
58	<i>Flacourtia</i> sp	Salicaceae	0.3021	A0.3788 [0.0227	0.7036
59	Galearia finlaysonii	Euphorbiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0669	0.7478
60	Garcinia forbesii	Gutthiferae	0.3021	0.3788	0.4443	1.1252
61	Garcinia cowa	Guttiferae	0.6042	0.3788	0.1140	1.0970
62	Glochidion sp	Euphorbiaceae	0.6042	0.7576	0.7464	2.1082
63	Horsfieldia wallichii	Myristicaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.3333	1.0142
64	Ixonanthes icosandra	Ixonantaceae	2.1148	2.6515	9.1956	<mark>13.9</mark> 619
65	Knema intermedia .	Myristicaceae	0.6042	0.3788	0.1498	1.1328
66	Knema kunstleri	Myristicaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0828	0.7637
67	Knema laurina	Myristicaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.3249	1.0058
68	<i>Knema</i> sp	Myristicaceae	0.3021	0.3788	2.2247	2.9 056
69	Lasianthus oblongus	Rubiaceae	4.2296	4.1667	3.2496	11.6459
70	Lasianthus stipularis	Rubiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0596	0.7405
71	<i>Litsea</i> sp	Lauraceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0401	0.7210
72	Macaranga canaria	Euphorbiaceae	0.6042	0.7576	1.6127	2.9745
73	Macaranga gigantea	Euphorbiaceae	1.5106	1.1364	1.5002	4.1471
74	Macaranga hypoleuca	Euphorbiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.1340	0.8149
75	Macaranga obovata	Euphorbiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.3546	01.0356
76	Macaranga triloba	Euphorbiaceae	4.5317	4.5455	1.2414	10.3186
77	Mallotus sp	Euphorbiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	2.4359	3.1168
78	Mallotus subpeltatus	Euphorbiaceae	0.6042	0.7576	0.6770	2.0388
79	<i>Mangifera</i> sp	Anacardiaceae	0.6042	0.7576	1.1711	2.5329
80	Milletia atropurpurea	Leguminosae	0.9063	1.1364	0.1624	2.2051
81	Milletia erientha	Leguminosae	0.3021	0.3788	0.1016	0.7825

82	Myristica wallichii	Myristicaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.4942	1.1751
83	Myroxylon balsamum	Leguminosae	0.3021	0.3788	0.1185	0.7994
84	<i>Nephelium</i> sp	Sapindaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.3418	1.0227
85	Parkia speciosa	Leguminosae	0.6042	0.7576	1.2316	2.5934
86	Pavetta sp	Rubiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.1605	0.8414
87	Pithecellobium jiringa	Leguminosae	4.8338	A5.3030 I	2.2878	12.4247
88	Poliatia sp	Annonaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.8968	1.5777
89	Pshycotria angulata .	Rubiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0797	0.7606
90	Pternandra echinata	Melastomataceae	10.2719	9.4697	4.4695	24.2111
91	Pternandra galeata	Mel <mark>astomatac</mark> eae	0.6042	0.3788	0.1405	1.1235
92	Quercus sp	Fagaceae	0.9063	1.1364	3.6528	5.6955
93	Radermachera gigantea	Bignoniaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0299	0.7108
94	Rinorea anguifera	Violaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.1185	0.7994
95	Sapium jamaicense	Euphorbiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.2294	0.9103
96	<i>Shorea</i> sp	Dipterocarpaseae	1.8127	1.5152	2.2388	5.5667
97	Shorea sumatrana	Dipterocarpaceae	1.8127	1.5152	2.4484	5.7763
98	Sterculia laevis	Sterculiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	1.2140	1.8949
99	Sterculia rubiginosa	Sterculiaceae	0.6042	0.7576	0.2169	1.5787
100	Sterculia sp	Sterculiaceae	1.5106	1.1364	0.3983	3.0453
101	Symplocos cochinchinensis	Symplocaceae	5.4381	4.1667	1.8520	11.4567
102	Urophyllum griffithianum	Rubiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.3085	0.9894
103	Vitex coriacea	Lamiaceae	0.6042	0.7576	0.2762	1.6380
104	Vitex negundo	Lamiaceae	0.3021	0.3788	0.0312	0.7121
105	Vitex pinnata	Lamiaceae	1.5106	1.8939	0.5478	3.9523
Total	105 spesies			a second		

No	Waktu Pengamatan	Enviromental Factor	Unit (avarage)
1.	Day 1 (March 10 th , 2022)	Temperature	23,7 ° C
		Moisture	76 %
		Light Intensity	22,4 %
2.	Day 2 (March 12 th), 2022)	Temperature S	24,8 °C
		Moisture	79 %
3.	Day 3 (March 13 th ,2022)	Temperature	24 ° C
		Moisture	76 %
	UNTUK KEDJA	JAAN BANGSN	

Appendix 3. Observation of Environmental Factor In The Biological Education And Research Forest Of Andalas University

	HI (%) = $[(S_{C} + S_{D} + S_{Nsp})/3]*10$							
No Plot	Canopy Cover	Basal Area (cm)	0.25*C	(-)13.06	0.45*C	(+)1.42	sum	FHI (%)
1	65.5	1172.34	16.38	3.32	29.48	30.90	134.21	44.74
2	72.76	216.31	18.19	5.13	32.74	34.16	139.29	46.43
3	66.4	188.60	16.60	3.54	29.88	31.30	134.84	44.95
4	64.82	1060.16	16.21	3.15	29.17	30.59	133.73	44.58
5	68.49	823.94	17.12	4.06	30.82	32.24	136.30	45.43
6	66.63	315.20	16.66	3.60	29.98	31.40	135.00	45.00
7	70.33	717.56	17.58	4.52	31.65	33.07	137.59	45.86
8	66.06	1435.47	16.52	3.46	29.73	31.15	134.60	44.87
9	69.51	2473.39	17.38	4.32	31.28	32.70	137.02	45.67
10	47.6	1910. <mark>32</mark>	11.90	-1.16	21.42	22.84	121.68	40.56
11	59.86	535.29	14.97	1.91	26.94	28.36	130.26	43.42
12	63.8	727.26	15.95	2.89	28.71	30.13	133.02	44.34
13	65.65	404.85	16.41	3.35	29.54	30.96	134.32	44.77
14	66.23	329.90 ONT	16.56 E	D J3.50 A	A 29.80	31.22	134.72	44.91
15	71.31	121.91	17.83	4.77	32.09	33.51	138.28	46.09
16	64.57	216.42	16.14	3.08	29.06	30.48	133.56	44.52
17	68,55	480.05	17.14	4.01	30.85	32.27	136.28	45.43
18	64.84	469.78	16.21	3.15	29.18	30.60	133.75	44.58
19	65.24	775.12	16.31	3.25	29.36	30.78	134.03	44.68

Apppendix 4. Calcutate of Forest Health Index

20	71.33	218.11	17.83	4.77	32.10	33.52	138.29	46.10
21	63.87	378.47	15.97	2.91	28.74	30.16	133.07	44.36
22	58.46	1256.48	14.62	1.56	26.31	27.73	129.28	43.09
23	70.37	296.68	17.59	4.53	31.67	33.09	137.62	45.87
24	70.81	1495.26	17.70ER	ST4.64 A	N31.86	33.28	137.93	45.98
25	65.73	638.56	16.43	3.37	29.58	31.00	134.37	44.79
26	73.83	1037.73	18.46	5.40	33.22	34.64	140.04	46.68
27	71.45	736.93	17.86	4.80	32.15	33.57	138.38	46.13
28	69.26	528.56	17.32	4.26	31.17	32.59	136.84	45.61
29	62.87	328.91	15.72	2.66	28.29	29.71	132.37	44.12
30	58	74.15	14.50	1.44	26.10	27.52	128.96	42.99
31	67.66	245.63	16.92	3.86	30.45	31.87	135.72	45.24
32	71.49	237.47	17.87	4.81	32.17	33.59	138.40	46.13
33	72.84	655.98	18.21	5.15	32.78	34.20	139.35	46.45
34	73.91	1243.47	18.48	5.42	33.26	34.68	140.10	46.70
35	70.6	226.96	17.65	4.59	31.77	33.19	137.78	45.93
36	67.3	321.43	16.83	3.77	30.29	31.71	135.47	45.16
37	66.83	2349. <mark>45</mark>	16.71	3.65	30.07	31.49	135.14	45.05
38	62.03	335.23	15.51	2.45	27.91	29.33	131.78	43.93
39	70.01	289.38	17.50	4.44	31.50	32.92	137.37	45.79
40	58.93	1342.03	14.73	1.67	26.52	27.94	129.61	43.20
41	71.31	822.58	17.83	0 4.77 A	32.09	33.51	138.28	46.09
42	69.87	249.29	17.47	4.41	31.44	32.86	137.27	45.76
43	67.67	494.45	16.92	3.86	30.45	31.87	135.73	45.24
44	70.95	181.21	17.74	4.68	31.93	33.35	138.03	46.01
45	66.42	334.67	16.61	3.55	29.89	31.31	134.85	44.95
46	74.28	317.46	18.57	5.51	33.43	34.85	140.36	46.79

47 70.51 3856.13 17.63 4.57 31.73 48 70.37 1066.97 17.59 4.53 31.67	33.15 33.09	137.72	45.91
48 70.37 1066.97 17.59 4.53 31.67 10	33.09		
		137.62	45.87
49 69.1 565.48 17.28 4.22 31.10	32.52	136.73	45.58
50 72.59 470.43 18.15 5.09 32.67	34.09	139.17	46.39
51 61.4 475.05 15.35 RSI 2.29 AN27.63	29.05	131.34	43.78
52 70.31 306.12 17.58 4.52 31.64	33.06	137.58	45.86
53 68.89 348.18 17.22 4.16 31.00	32.42	136.58	45.53
54 72.83 155.62 18.21 5.15 32.77	34.19	139.34	46.45
55 69.89 224.77 17.47 4.41 31.45	32.87	137.28	45.76
56 70.01 930.15 17.50 4.44 31.50	32.92	137.37	45.79
57 69.06 257.81 17.27 4.21 31.08	32.50	136.70	45.57
58 67.9 1285.21 16.98 3.92 30.56	31.98	135.89	45.30
59 67.09 2025.80 16.77 3.71 30.19	31.61	135.32	45.11
60 75.26 584.74 18.82 5.76 33.87	35.29	141.04	47.01
6161.861032.4015.472.4127.84	29.26	131.66	43.89
62 71.35 574.58 17.84 4.78 32.11	33.53	138.31	46.10
6362.6227.6815.652.5928.17	29.59	132.18	44.06
6469.53444.2417.384.3231.29	32.71	137.03	45.68
6557.98294.3314.501.4426.09	27.51	128.95	42.98
66 71.48 472.04 17.87 4.81 32.17	33.59	138.40	46.13
67 67.76 1117.65 16.94 3.88 30.49	31.91	135.79	45.26
68 68.44 2026.82 17.11 4.05 30.80	32.22	136.27	45.42
69 65.12 1080.92 16.28 3.22 29.30	30.72	133.94	44.65
70 64.99 471.52 16.25 3.19 29.25	30.67	133.85	44.62
71 58 1079.71 14.50 1.44 26.10	27.52	128.96	42.99
7267820.9516.753.6930.15	31.57	135.26	45.09
73 72.84 271.72 18.21 5.15 32.78	34.20	139.35	46.45

74	70.95	263.32	17.74	4.68	31.93	33.35	138.03	46.01
75	69.14	439.77	17.29	4.23	31.11	32.53	136.76	45.59
76	63.55	415.55	15.89	2.83	28.60	30.02	132.85	44.28
77	65.04	183.66	16.26	3.20	29.27	30.69	133.89	44.63
78	69.14	384.42	17.29ER	ST 4.23 A	N31.11	32.53	136.76	45.59
79	73.01	230.61	18.25	5.19	32.85	34.27	139.47	46.49
80	60.42	2391.48	15.11	2.05	27.19	28.61	130.65	43.55
81	65.62	1959.93	16.41	3.35	29.53	30.95	134.29	44.76
82	68.91	455.94	17.23	4.17	31.01	32.43	136.60	45.53
83	67.34	1748.85	16.84	3.78	30.30	31.72	135.50	45.17
84	70.21	5538.96	17.55	4.49	31.59	33.01	137.51	45.84
85	62.96	5671.63	15.74	2.68	28.33	29.75	132.43	44.14
86	64.22	808.29	16.06	3.00	28.90	30.32	133.31	44.44
87	66.62	126.61	16.66	3.60	29.98	31.40	134.99	45.00
88	62.47	525.58	15.62	2.56	28.11	29.53	132.09	44.03
89	71.17	493.14	17.79	4.73	32.03	33.45	138.18	46.06
90	65.34	254.47	16.34	3.28	29.40	30.82	134.10	44.70
91	66.67	540.9 <mark>9</mark>	16.67	3.61	30.00	31.42	135.03	45.01
92	71.7	236.14	17.93	4.87	32.27	33.69	138.55	46.18
93	73.75	163.88	18.44	5.38	33.19	34.61	139.99	46.66
94	70.45	725.24	17.61	4.55	31.70	33.12	137.68	45.89
95	77.32	549.16	TU 19.33 E	6.27 A	34.79	36.21	142.48	47.49
96	70.51	406.11	17.63	4.57	31.73	33.15	137.72	45.91
97	68.61	6789.47	17.15	4.09	30.87	32.29	136.39	45.46
98	64.41	765.63	16.10	3.04	28.98	30.40	133.45	44.48
99	70.53	0.00	17.63	4.57	31.74	33.16	137.73	45.91
100	65.05	660.27	16.26	3.20	29.27	30.69	133.90	44.63

TOTAL	4521.59
Avarage	45.22 %



Appendix 5. Field Documentation



- c. the picture with Camera Phone GLAMA application in Android
- d. The screenshoot number of GLAMA Application

BIODATA OF AUTHOR



Name	Ayu Smarnia Putri ALAS
Reg. number	: 1810422060
Place/Birth date	: Padang, July 26 th 2000
Age	: 22 Years
Gender	: Female
Religion	: Islam
Alamat	: JI. Thamrin No. 42, Padang,West Sumatera.
Length Of Study	: 4 years 5 month
Grade Point Average	: 3.57
Yudicium	: Cum Laude
Phone Number	082392216716
Email	: ayusmarniaputri2060@gmail.com
	219-
Parents Name	
Father	: Martianus
Mother	:Hesti Yulianawati
Education History	
2006-2012	: SD KARTIKA 1-12 PADANG
2012-2015	: SMP NEGERI 4 PADANG
2015-2018	: SM ADABIAH 2 PADANG
2018-2022	: Sarjana Biologi FMIPA UNAND



